

From: [Dan Stacy](#)
To: [Redistricting](#)
Subject: Judicial Redistricting Commission - Senatorial Comments
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 11:57:33 AM

Representative Dan Stacy
816-820-8092
1215 SW Hillcrest Dr
Blue Springs, MO 64015

To the Honorable Judicial Redistricting Commission,

Please reflect the Constitutional order of priorities when redistricting stated in Missouri Constitution Article III, Section 3, including Subsection (3) Dealing with Compact and Contiguous; and Subsection (4) of the same article, preserving communities of interest.

I would like to speak to the area I am most familiar. Recognizing that the 8th Senatorial District is going to have to shed some of the current district to maintain balance in the remaining Jackson County Districts.

I would like to suggest these community of interest boundaries:

- 1) that as nearly as possible you use I-70 as a natural boundary.
- 2) that the current 8th District encompass all of the South Eastern portion of the the county bounded by the Eastern and Southern boundaries of the county be a guide for preserving that community of interest as a nearly as possible
- 3) that the current 11th District encompass all of the North Eastern portion of the County Bounded by the North and Eastern boundaries of the county for preserving that community of interest as nearly as possible
- 4) that within those general principles you preserve as many of the municipal boundaries as possible.
- 5) suggestions for communities to be placed in Districts
 - A) Blue Springs, Lees Summit as well as the other smaller communities that fall in that Southeastern area of the County be placed in current District 8
 - B) Eastern Independence, Grain Valley and Oak Grove as well as the other smaller communities that generally fall in the Northeastern area of the County be placed in current District 11

Thank you for your work in administering the responsibility of the Missouri Constitution after the failure of the Independent Bi-Partisan Citizens Senatorial Commission to agree on a Map.

Representative Dan Stacy
816-820-8092
1215 SW Hillcrest Dr
Blue Springs, MO 64015

From: [Daleah Wyne](#)
To: [Redistricting](#)
Subject: Judicial Redistricting Commission - Senatorial Comments
Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 1:55:59 PM

I live and work in this community and care deeply about the effects that redistricting will have on our community. I believe that moving Johnson County in with Cass County will be a huge disadvantage to the citizens of this county. We are a rural community with a very diverse population. Our county needs to be represented by someone who lives and works in this community. We want our local political subdivisions to remain together when possible. As we live and work in our communities, we want our elected officials to represent those same values. Thank you for your desire to follow the intent of the 2020 constitutional amendment as it relates to redistricting.

Daleah Wyne
619 Foster Lane
Warrensburg, MO 64093
660-287-3433

From: [John Crawford](#)
To: [Redistricting](#)
Subject: Judicial Redistricting Commission - Senatorial Comments
Date: Saturday, February 19, 2022 7:01:45 AM

Judges will have direct access to Senatorial comments provided via Judicial Redistricting Commission Hub; it would be best to provide your comment through the Hub.

For your comment, through this email, to become part of the public testimony provided to the Judicial Redistricting Commission - you will need to provide: your first and last name, phone number, and address.

By providing your first and last name, you acknowledge that the information provided is public record under chapter 610, RSMO.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

John Crawford

I believe because of the short time to file for the senatorial positions that the districts stay as they are and with the same district numbers. This will provide for a quicker response to the districts and will avoid a follow up event of more utter confusion.

Thank you for your consideration,

John Crawford

273 Highway 32

P.O. Box 332

Buffalo, Mo 65622

417-345-2958



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Obadiah Plainman](#)
To: [Redistricting](#)
Subject: Senate Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 5:53:49 PM

MO State Senate Map 01 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/c6a692d9-0185-4b0b-8cbc-7034cfe5f424>

12 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
25 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
3.13% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3761 (Reock) and 0.3092 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 02 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/28162007-4262-473e-9c78-e83622f16e36>

11 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
6 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
33 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
3.73% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3891 (Reock) and 0.3160 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 03 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/6fcfab9e-d4ac-4369-aa4a-2700d30cf3ed>

13 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
21 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
28 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
5.3% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3830 (Reock) and 0.3164 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 04 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/13cbf889-fe99-4a91-aad8-db4c78f5d72f>

12 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
21 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
28 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
5.3% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3893 (Reock) and 0.3225 (Polsby-Popper)

4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 05 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/d9617a5d-73cd-42a9-a4c5-9a315d006805>

14 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
22 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
29 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
4.24% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3753 (Reock) and 0.3124 (Polsby-Popper)
3 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 06 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/76499099-724a-400f-a15c-8de76d1a5aa0>

14 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
22 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
30 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
4.82% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3863 (Reock) and 0.3207 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 07 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/5f390f30-681d-499c-8e88-57f858959d99>

10 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
29 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
33 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
3.16% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3948 (Reock) and 0.3112 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
5 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 08 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/3b4bfe31-9695-4979-a4cd-c359bc688a51>

13 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
20 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
30 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
3.57% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3858 (Reock) and 0.3062 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts

7 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 09 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/29db5105-c7b7-4fc1-b332-d0d599712856>

10 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
19 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
30 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
5.12% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3655 (Reock) and 0.3176 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 10 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/f6fe1657-7a18-41be-ad34-2c9f1191c002>

14 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
23 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
30 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
4.1% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3759 (Reock) and 0.3010 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 11 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/7b0daaba-dc89-4d68-a4c6-54a0b2857b9d>

11 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
23 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
30 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
33 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population
4.4% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3817 (Reock) and 0.3058 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts
6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 12 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/146bb275-f487-406a-9dec-635c6a51cedd>

12 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population
27 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population
32 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population
34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population
3.43% overall population deviation range
7 counties split
Average compactness score of 0.3886 (Reock) and 0.3200 (Polsby-Popper)
4 majority-minority districts

4 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 13 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/25c5675c-9eda-4fbd-97b8-fb1952b91610>

17 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population

23 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population

31 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population

32 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population

33 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population

34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population

5.07% overall population deviation range

7 counties split

Average compactness score of 0.3877 (Reock) and 0.3257 (Polsby-Popper)

4 majority-minority districts

6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 14 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/f0582b59-dd26-42ae-9d6c-bc262e4bed0d>

12 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population

18 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population

24 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population

27 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population

31 of 34 districts within +/- 2.5% of ideal population

34 of 34 districts within +/- 3.0% of ideal population

5.34% overall population deviation range

7 counties split

Average compactness score of 0.3828 (Reock) and 0.3130 (Polsby-Popper)

4 majority-minority districts

6 competitive districts (45-55%)

MO State Senate Map 15 – <https://davesredistricting.org/join/151a33d5-84d7-47b5-93b5-8a1e7976eaa9>

16 of 34 districts within +/- 0.5% of ideal population

24 of 34 districts within +/- 1.0% of ideal population

32 of 34 districts within +/- 1.5% of ideal population

34 of 34 districts within +/- 2.0% of ideal population

3.31% overall population deviation range

7 counties split

Average compactness score of 0.3953 (Reock) and 0.3319 (Polsby-Popper)

4 majority-minority districts

6 competitive districts (45-55%)

From: [Les Larson](#)
To: [Redistricting](#)
Subject: Judicial Redistricting Commission - Senatorial Comments
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 6:57:26 PM

I encourage the Judicial Redistricting Committee for the Missouri Senate districts to keep Camden County whole. The Lake of the Ozarks has seen an increase in residents and this growth is going to continue. Keeping Camden County whole and not splitting the County assures that the County addresses issues as one complete community. The elected Senator representing Camden County can have complete and full feedback on any of the issues that require the full communities input.

Respectfully submitted
Les J Larson
94 Cobblestone Rd
Sunrise Beach MO 65079
815-739-2507
Les.Larson@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cain, Gary](#)
To: [Simpson, Jori](#)
Subject: FW: Mary Lindsay's testimony is in the body of this email
Date: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 8:53:51 AM
Attachments: [Mary Lindsay Testimony 3322.pdf](#)

From: Mary Lindsay <mary.lindsaymsw@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:00 PM
To: Cain, Gary <Gary.Cain@oa.mo.gov>
Subject: Mary Lindsay's testimony is in the body of this email

Missouri Judicial Redistricting Commission

Submitted by (as an individual):
Mary Lindsay
10800 Wornall Road, #307
Kansas City MO 64114
816-885-9996

I have been a resident of Kansas City, Missouri since 1992.

As I am sure you know, the Missouri Constitution (Mo. Const. art. III, §3) requires the use of voting data averaged from statewide races for governor, United States Senate, and President for the past three general elections (2016, 2018, and 2020). These data sets are available at the precinct level on the Harvard Dataverse (Voting and Election Science Team 2021).

According to this data set, Missourians (in those statewide general elections) voted roughly 53.5% for Republicans and roughly 46.5% for Democrats.

I understand that Democratic voters are concentrated in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas, so it is understandable that the voting patterns in statewide elections would not simply comport proportionately across the state with the winners in elections for state senate districts.

In the current General Assembly, twenty four of the thirty four senate seats (70%) are held by Republicans.

This discrepancy (53.5% versus 70%) seems excessive to me. Perhaps there would be more competitive districts in the suburbs around the urban areas if lines were drawn with less partisan bias.

In late December, the house commission concurred on the lines for 112 districts in rural areas of Missouri. After those districts were off the table, they then focused on the remaining 51 districts in the Kansas City, Saint Louis, Boone County and Greene County areas.

Of course, I wasn't present for their deliberations, but I believe they could not have arrived at 100% accord unless they made liberal use of state-of-the-art technology which evaluated each map in terms of partisan fairness. Using that kind of tool, they could have made incremental changes in maps in the disputed areas until they arrived at a fair negotiation.

I fervently hope that you, as a commission, will work in a similar collaborative way to establish

partisan fairness in Missouri's senate.

Missouri Judicial Redistricting Commission

I have been a resident of Kansas City, Missouri since 1992.

As I am sure you know, the Missouri Constitution (Mo. Const. art. III, §3) requires the use of voting data averaged from statewide races for governor, United States Senate, and President for the past three general elections (2016, 2018, and 2020). These data sets are available at the precinct level on the Harvard Dataverse (Voting and Election Science Team 2021).

According to this data set, Missourians (in those statewide general elections) voted roughly 53.5% for Republicans and roughly 46.5% for Democrats.

I understand that Democratic voters are concentrated in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas, so it is understandable that the voting patterns in statewide elections would not simply comport proportionately across the state with the winners in elections for state senate districts.

In the current General Assembly, twenty four of the thirty four senate seats (70%) are held by Republicans.

This discrepancy (53.5% versus 70%) seems excessive to me. Perhaps there would be more competitive districts in the suburbs around the urban areas if lines were drawn with less partisan bias.

In late December, the house commission concurred on the lines for 112 districts in rural areas of Missouri. After those districts were off the table, they then focused on the remaining 51 districts in the Kansas City, Saint Louis, Boone County and Greene County areas.

Of course, I wasn't present for their deliberations, but I believe they could not have arrived at 100% accord unless they made liberal use of state-of-the-art technology which evaluated each map in terms of partisan fairness. Using that kind of tool, they could have made incremental changes in maps in the disputed areas until they arrived at a fair negotiation.

I fervently hope that you, as a commission, will work in a similar collaborative way to establish partisan fairness in Missouri's senate.