PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION



With Governor's Recommendations

Missouri State Public Defender System
Budget Request
Fiscal Year 2021

PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION

Budget Request Fiscal Year 2021

Mary Fox State Public Defender, Director 573-526-5212 573-508-7722



Office of the State Public Defender 231 East Capitol Jofferson City Missouri 65101

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

573-526-5210 – Phone 573-526-5213 – Fax

January 16, 2020

Dear Governor Parson

I wish to extend my appreciation to you for approving the Missouri State Public Defender's (MSPD) FY2020 budget, which included the reinstatement of two juvenile advocacy units. Thanks to you, and the legislature, MSPD now provides representation to juvenile defendants who are poor and face a potential loss of liberty. So far, the Children's Defense Team has worked to find a successful resolution for every child they have represented with the goal of diverting those children from a future in the Department of Corrections, and with a vision towards helping these children become successful adults. Diverting just 26 children away from the Department of Corrections, where they have a 41% recidivism rate, will result in each unit paying for itself. This is an effective policy that not only improves the future for at-risk children, but will also reduce the prison population by ensuring better outcomes for system-involved youth.

The critical decision item that was not recommended by your office nor recommended by the General Assembly, and, hence did not reach your desk for final approval, was funding to eliminate the growing wait list of indigent defendants who are currently charged but do not yet have a public defender assigned. For years, public defenders took an unlimited number of cases regardless of whether they had time to do the work required under an attorney's ethical obligations. However, in recent years, the Missouri Supreme Court has taken steps to punish public defenders who do just that, thus placing MSPD in the

untenable situation of having to maintain client wait lists or face action against their bar licenses. What's more, assigning clients to lawyers who do not have time to work on their case only artificially increases the prison population. The state wants public defenders to investigate cases, talk to witnesses, and ensure that cases are resolved effectively and adequately. When attorneys are able to adequately work on their cases, then, and only then will MSPD be able to perform its function of helping the state reconcile not just the innocent from the guilty, but those who go to prison, at a considerable cost to taxpayers, and those who could be successfully managed in the community. With additional funding, MSPD can contract with private attorneys to reduce this backlog of cases.

In order to achieve this end, I respectfully submit to you MSPD's 40th budget request for Fiscal Year 2021, which was approved by the Public Defender Commission. This request reflects the resources that are needed to meet the statutory obligations of MSPD, which are also the constitutional obligations of the State of Missouri.

Very truly yours,

Mary Fox

Director, Missouri State Public Defender

State Public Defender 2021 Legislative Budget Request Table of Contents

Program Description Summary	1
Decision Item Summary – Legal Services	12
Legal Services Core Request	14
Decision Items	
FY21 & CTC FY2020 Pay Plan—Legal Services	18
Wait List Elimination	29
Information Technology Replacement Items	35
Springfield Appellate Office	39
Contract Fee Rates	48
Constitutionally Mandated Representation	53
Conflict Cases & Litigation Expenses –Core Request	64
Legal Defense and Defender Fund – Core Request	68
FY21 &CTC FY2020 Pay Plan—Legal Defense & Defender Fund	72
Federal & Other – Core Request	84
Increase in Spending Authority for Federal & Other Funds	87
Debt Offset Escrow Fund – Core Request	90

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program Name: Public Defender

Program is found in the following core budget(s):

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

1a. What strategic priority does this program address?

The single overriding goal of the Office of the Missouri State Public Defender System is to provide effective criminal defense representation for its clients fulfilling the office's constitutional mandate. Strategies to accomplish this mission have been identified and implemented with continued refinements to enhance productivity, efficiencies, whereby reducing costs and eliminating waste in the processes and operations that deliver such services.

1b. What does this program do?

The Missouri State Public Defender System [MSPD] is a statewide system that provides legal representation to poor persons who are accused or convicted of state crimes in Missouri's trial, appellate, and Supreme courts. Carrying out these functions fulfills the state's obligation to provide the right to counsel under the state and U.S. Constitutions to those who cannot afford it.

2a. Provide an activity measure(s) for the program.

In Fiscal Year 2019, The Missouri State Public Defender System's 329 Trial lawyers closed 61,296 cases last year, appearing in every courthouse in every county across the state, at an average cost to the state's taxpayers of just \$475 per case. This astonishingly low cost of indigent defense in Missouri – among the lowest in the nation -- is not a cause for celebration. It comes at the cost of justice, the result of widespread failure to provide indigent defendants the effective assistance of counsel that the U.S. Constitution's Bill of Rights guarantees them. There is a limit to the 'Do More With Less' mantra within the arena of criminal justice, and Missouri passed it sometime ago.

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program Name: Public Defender

Program is found in the following core budget(s):

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.


2b. Provide a measure(s) of the program's quality.

Unfortunately, the Missouri State Public Defender System is not currently able to meet many of these standards because it is staffed to handle only a percentage of the total caseload assigned to it this last year. The overload has forced lawyers and investigators alike to cut corners, skip steps, and make on-the-fly triage decisions in order to keep up with the deluge of cases coming in the door. As a result, effectiveness in many of these cases is seriously compromised.

2c. Provide a measure(s) of the program's impact.

In FY2019, MSPD provided representation in 73,076 new cases. The Public Defender Commission sets the indigency guidelines that are used to determine who is eligible for public defender services. Currently, those guidelines match the Federal Poverty Guidelines. Strictly applied, that would mean an individual making only \$12,000 a year would not qualify for a public defender. According to recent reports, Missouri ranks 50th out of 50 states in income eligibility standards for public defender services, leaving a wide gap of ineligible defendants who in reality still lack the means to retain private counsel in the market. The guidelines, however, do allow for the taking into consideration of all of the defendant's particular circumstances affecting his/her ability to hire counsel, so things such as the seriousness of the charge may impact that decision. Defendants have the right to appeal MSPD's denial of their application to the court for an independent review of their eligibility. If the court finds they are unable to afford private counsel, the court can overrule the public defender denial.

In addition to the cases opened in Fiscal Year 2019, public defenders must provide representation in those cases that were opened in prior fiscal years and have not yet been closed, as the table on the right illustrates for the Trial Division.



Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program Name: Public Defender

Program is found in the following core budget(s):

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide

effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

2d. Provide a measure(s) of the program's efficiency.

There are three primary measures of effectiveness applicable to the Missouri State Public Defender System:

- (1) **Case Law:** Through cases ruled upon by the United States Supreme Court, the Missouri Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal, specific standards of what does or does not constitute effective assistance of counsel in the representation of a criminal defendant have evolved. Where an attorney is found by the court to have failed to meet those standards, any conviction of the defendant must be set aside.
- (2) **Missouri Rules of Professional Responsibility** are established by the Missouri Supreme Court and applicable to every attorney licensed to practice law within the State of Missouri. The Rules set out what is expected from a competent, professional attorney and are enforced by the Missouri Supreme Court through its Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Failure to comply with these rules can result in actions being taken against the attorney's license, ranging from a formal reprimand up to and including permanent disbarment from the right to practice law within the state.
- (3) **MSPD Guidelines for Representation** adopted by the Missouri State Public Defender Commission, which set out the Commission's expectations of its attorneys in order to meet the above standards for effective representation of clients served by Missouri Public Defenders.

Unfortunately, the Missouri State Public Defender System is not currently able to meet many of these standards because it is staffed to handle only a percentage of the total caseload assigned to it this last year. The overload has forced lawyers and investigators alike to cut corners, skip steps, and make on-the-fly triage decisions in order to keep up with the deluge of cases coming in the door. As a result, effectiveness in many of these cases is seriously compromised.

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program Name: Public Defender

Program is found in the following core budget(s):

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.

American Bar Association Ethical Advisory Opinion re Public Defender Caseloads: In 2006, the American Bar Association issued an ethical advisory opinion warning against ethical violations caused by excessive defender caseloads and highlighting the fact that public defenders are not exempt from the professional obligation of all attorneys not to take on more cases than they can effectively handle. That opinion cited national caseload standards, as a base which should not be exceeded, but warned that other factors must also be taken into consideration, such as availability (or lack of) support staff to assist the attorneys, time taken away from case preparation by other non-case-related duties, such as travel, training, management, etc., and the specifics of local practice that could impact the amount of time needed for handling particular case types. See, ABA Formal Opinion 06-441: Ethical Obligations of Lawyers who Represent Indigent Criminal Defendants When Excessive Caseload Interfere with Competent and Diligent Representation, May 13, 2006.

Over the last ten years, the issue of Missouri Public Defender's workload has been the subject of five different studies: one by a Missouri Bar Task Force, two by *The Spangenberg Group*, an independent consultant, another by a Senate Interim Committee, and the most recent by the American Bar Association titled *The Missouri Project*. Each of these investigations reached the same conclusion: Missouri's public defenders have too many cases and not enough lawyers or support staff to fulfill the state's constitutional obligations.

The most recent ABA study, conducted and overseen by RubinBrown of St. Louis, one of the nation's top accounting and business analytics firms, was designed to not only identify excessive work overloads — which it did — but also to establish reliable case weights to determine what staffing levels are needed to match the existing workload (i.e., the average number of hours a competent attorney could expect to spend on a particular case type to provide competent representation).

When these case weights are applied to MSPD's caseload, the number of staff MSPD would need to meet its existing caseload is 327 additional attorneys (see case weight metrics below). 6 attorneys are requested during this budget cycle to begin to address this shortfall. 6 additional attorneys are requested in a separate Springfield Appellate Office decision item.

ABA/RubinBrown **Workload Study** Hours Per Type of Case Non-Capital Homicide 106.6 A/B Felony Offense 47.6 C/D Felony Offense 25.0 Sex Offense - Felony 63.8 Misdemeanor 11.7 Juvenile 19.5 Appeals/PCR 96.5 Probation Violation 9.8

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program Name: Public Defender


Program is found in the following core budget(s):

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.

3. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year. (Note: Amounts do not include fringe benefit costs.)



4. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Legal Defense and Defender Fund - Collections from Client fees and Other.

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Program is found in the following core budget(s):

Program Name: Public Defender

This program is found in all MSPD core budgets.

MSPD has only one mission and only one program - to provide

HB Section(s): HB 12.400

effective legal representation to indigent persons accused of crime.

5. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Chapter 600 R.S. Mo, which was enacted to comply with the state's obligations under the U.S. Constitution and Missouri Constitutions:

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to . . . have the assistance of counsel for his defence.

Amend VI, U.S. Constitution

In order to assert our rights, acknowledge our duties, and proclaim the principles on which our government is founded, we declare: . . . That in criminal prosecutions the accused shall have the right to appear and defend in person and by counsel.

Article I, Section 18(a), Missouri Constitution.

6. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

7. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

Yes -


The Provision of counsel to indigent defendants facing prosecution and potential loss of their liberty if federally mandated the United States Constitution.

"In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to...have the assistance of counsel for his defence."

Amend VI, U.S. Constitution Bill of Rights.

Missouri State Public Defender System Cases Assigned by Case Type Does Not Include Wait List (Rule 4) Cases

			_									
Fiscal Year	Murder 1st	Other Homicide	Felony	Murder + Felony Caseload	Misdemeanor	Juvenile	PCR	Other	Probation Violation	Appeals	Total Opened	Total Closed (No Conflicts)
FY19	174	227	43,241	43,642	11,275	976	1,107	68	15,566	442	73,076	63,128
FY18	194	203	43,064	43,461	12,167	1,074	1,309	158	16,805	445	75,419	71,329
FY17	179	195	45,364	45,738	16,487	1,617	1,264	458	19,405	843	85,812	78,629
FY16	187	138	42,276	42,601	16,121	1,677	829	204	18,557	766	80,755	71,934
FY15	167	148	37,879	38,194	14,853	1,831	916	174	16,831	799	73,598	71,464
FY14	129	138	38,554	38,821	15,228	1,830	939	166	17,460	752	75,196	72,197
FY13	152	207	38,785	39,144	16,692	1,670	986	238	18,477	792	77,999	79,985
FY12	121	197	38,551	38,869	20,948	1,923	1,212	159	20,320	966	84,397	81,871
FY11	148	149	35,753	36,050	22,767	1,893	1,088	119	20,066	913	82,896	80,137
FY10	161	164	34,781	35,106	24,768	2,393	1,141	131	20,147	930	84,616	81,346
FY09	121	180	33,226	33,527	25,181	2,513	1,264	181	19,518	898	83,082	81,704
FY08	158	154	34,766	35,078	26,098	2,715	1,061	182	19,555	716	85,405	85,116
FY07	174	161	35,109	35,444	27,816	3,380	828	129	19,157	743	87,497	85,133
FY06	138	146	35,339	35,623	28,227	3,676	838	46	19,412	710	88,532	83,260
FY05	156	124	33,282	33,562	28,931	3,881	937	120	20,012	688	88,131	87,180
FY04	154	140	34,422	34,716	28,018	4,258	807	98	20,263	756	88,916	86,356
FY03	195	114	35,425	35,734	25,807	4,147	806	103	18,479	832	85,908	81,059
FY02	163	132	33,183	33,478	25,147	3,918	802	64	18,047	750	82,206	77,165
FY01	182	125	29,934	30,241	22,903	4,488	711	82	17,663	698	76,786	73,438
FY00	147	109	28,019	28,275	24,119	4,998	763	76	16,768	739	75,738	69,591
FY99	182	108	28,892	29,182	23,721	4,629	797	112	14,488	809	73,738	74,570
FY98	196	87	31,591	31,874	24,676	4,270	674	138	14,141	689	76,462	74,495
FY97	169	79	29,663	29,911	21,912	4,075	513	156	13,437	839	70,843	67,870
FY96	175	88	30,198	30,461	23,069	3,612	707	178	11,444	1,038	70,509	70,664
FY95	256	109	27,688	28,053	17,696	3,916	719	165	9,362	1,138	61,049	61,710
FY94	255	152	25,338	25,745	17,852	3,374	682	201	8,225	1,017	57,096	52,453
FY93	301	136	24,402	24,839	15,883	3,146	766	249	7,301	872	53,056	52,363
FY92	282	37	25,458	25,777	19,974	3,372	1,129	167	5,321	569	56,309	55,651
FY91	193	63	21,304	21,560	13,941	2,713	588	169	5,051	820	44,842	49,038
FY90	227	109	23,336	23,672	14,627	3,300	732	369	5,834	1,094	49,628	46,425
FY89	193	149	20,838	21,180	12,902	3,298	1,342	418	5,074	1,243	45,457	42,532
FY88	202	161	20,640	21,003	12,427	3,455	1,006	470	4,475	920	43,756	40,117
FY87	199	145	19,254	19,598	11,736	3,564	755	443	4,308	728	41,132	37,081
FY86	166	175	17,042	17,383	10,602	3,328	612	611	3,815	608	36,959	34,491
FY85	152	172	15,397	15,721	9,126	3,500	543	522	3,293	632	33,337	32,410
FY84	176	175	15,048	15,399	9,256	3,058	534	499	2,878	506	32,130	31,730



State Auditor's Reports and Oversight Evaluation

Program or Division Name	Type of Report	Date Issued	Website
Public Defender Commission	Audit	October 1, 2012	http://www.auditor.mo.gov/Press/2012-129.pdf

DECISION ITEM RANKING

Budgeting Unit		FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021		
Decision Item	Rank	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	CUMULATIVE	TOTAL
Fund		DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR							
CORE	001						
GENERAL REVENUE		43,753,827	613.13	43,753,827	613.13	43,753,827	613.13
TOTAL		43,753,827	613.13	43,753,827	613.13		
EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSE/CONFLIC							
CORE	001						
GENERAL REVENUE		4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	48,474,898	613.13
TOTAL		4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00		
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND							
CORE	001						
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER		3,000,896	2.00	3,000,896	2.00	51,475,794	615.13
TOTAL		3,000,896	2.00	3,000,896	2.00		
GRANTS							
CORE	001						
PUBLIC DEFENDER-FEDERAL & OTHR		125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	51,600,794	615.13
TOTAL		125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00		
MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT							
CORE	001						
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0	0.00	51,600,794	615.13
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER		0	0.00	0	0.00	51,600,794	615.13
TOTAL		0	0.00	0	0.00		
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR							
Pay Plan - 0000012	002						
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	355,582	0.00	51,956,376	615.13
TOTAL		0	0.00	355,582	0.00		
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013	002						
GENERAL REVENUE		504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00	52,460,905	615.13
TOTAL		504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00		
Mileage Reimburse Rate Incr - 0000015	002						
GENERAL REVENUE		145,376	0.00	0	0.00	52,460,905	615.13
TOTAL		145,376	0.00	0	0.00		

1/15/20 17:13

im_di_ranking

Page 1 of 2

DECISION ITEM RANKING

Budgeting Unit		FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021		
Decision Item	Rank	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	CUMULATIVE	
Fund		DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND							
Pay Plan - 0000012	002						
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER		0	0.00	1,409	0.00	52,462,314	615.13
TOTAL		0	0.00	1,409	0.00		
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013	002						
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER		2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00		
Mileage Reimburse Rate Incr - 0000015	002						
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER		11,250	0.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		11,250	0.00		0.00		
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR							
Wait List Elimination - 1151001	005						
GENERAL REVENUE		3,349,000	0.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		3,349,000	0.00		0.00	, ,	
Information Technology Replace - 1151002	005						
GENERAL REVENUE		1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00	- , - ,	
Springfield Appellate Office - 1151003	005						
GENERAL REVENUE		595,892	9.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		595,892	9.00	0	0.00	- , - ,	
Contract Fee Rates - 1151004	005	,					
GENERAL REVENUE		2,309,259	0.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		2,309,259	0.00	0	0.00	- , - ,	
Constitutionally Mandated Rep - 1151005	005	, ,					
GENERAL REVENUE		503,906	8.00	0	0.00	52,464,367	615.13
TOTAL		503,906	8.00	0	0.00	,,	
GRANTS		,					
Increase Federal & Other Auth 1151006	005						
PUBLIC DEFENDER-FEDERAL & OTHR	000	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	52,964,367	615.13
TOTAL		500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	5=,001,007	313.10
GRAND TOTAL		\$60,810,949	632.13	\$52,964,367	615.13		

1/15/20 17:13

im_di_ranking

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
GENERAL REVENUE	32,614,679	596.17	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13
TOTAL - PS	32,614,679	596.17	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT GENERAL REVENUE	8,678,566	0.00	8,554,864	0.00	8,700,240	0.00	8,700,240	0.00
TOTAL - EE	8,678,566	0.00	8,554,864	0.00	8,700,240	0.00	8,700,240	0.00
TOTAL	41,293,245	596.17	43,608,451	613.13	43,753,827	613.13	43,753,827	613.13
Pay Plan - 0000012								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	355,582	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	355,582	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	355,582	0.00
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	0	0.00	504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00
Mileage Reimburse Rate Incr - 0000015								
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT							•	
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	0	0.00	145,376	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	145,376	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	145,376	0.00	0	0.00
Wait List Elimination - 1151001								
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT	•	0.00	•	0.00	0.040.000	0.00	•	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	0	0.00	3,349,000	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	3,349,000	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	3,349,000	0.00	0	0.00

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit									IOIOIA II LIVI	
Decision Item	FY 2019	FY	2019	FY 2020	FY 2020		FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	AC.	TUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET		DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	F	TE	DOLLAR	FTE		DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR										
Information Technology Replace - 1151002										
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE		0	0.00	0	(0.00	1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL		0	0.00	0		0.00	1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00
Springfield Appellate Office - 1151003										
PERSONAL SERVICES										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	491,352	9.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS		0	0.00	0	(0.00	491,352	9.00	0	0.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	104,540	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE		0	0.00	0		0.00	104,540	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL		0	0.00	0	(0.00	595,892	9.00	0	0.00
Contract Fee Rates - 1151004										
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	2,309,259	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE		0	0.00	0	(0.00	2,309,259	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL		0	0.00	0		0.00	2,309,259	0.00	0	0.00
Constitutionally Mandated Rep - 1151005										
PERSONAL SERVICES										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	431,856	8.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS		0	0.00	0	(0.00	431,856	8.00	0	0.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT										
GENERAL REVENUE		0	0.00	0		0.00	72,050	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE		0	0.00	0	(0.00	72,050	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL		0	0.00	0	- (0.00	503,906	8.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$41,293,24	5	596.17	\$43,608,451	613	3.13	\$52,450,679	630.13	\$44,613,938	613.13

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department:	Office of the State Public Defender	Budget Unit 15111C	
Division:	Public Defender		
Core:	Legal Services	HB Section HB 12.400	
		<u> </u>	
1. CORE FINA	NCIAL SUMMARY		

	F	Y 2021 Budge	et Request			FY 2021 Governor's Recommendate				
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS	35,553,587	0	0	35,553,587	PS	35,053,587	0	0	35,053,587	
EE	8,200,240	0	0	8,200,240	EE	8,700,240	0	0	8,700,240	
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	43,753,827	0	0	43,753,827	Total	43,753,827	0	0	43,753,827	
FTE	615.13	0.00	0.00	615.13	FTE	615.13	0.00	0.00	615.13	
Est. Fringe	19,755,094	0	0	19,755,094	Est. Fringe	19,594,594	0	0	19,594,594	
Note: Fringes bu	idgeted in House E	Bill 5 except fo	r certain frin	ges budgeted	Note: Fringes	budgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 exce	pt for certai	n fringes	
directly to MoDO	T, Highway Patrol,	and Conserva	ation.		budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.					

Other Funds:

Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

The Missouri State Public Defender System [MSPD] is a statewide system, providing legal representation to indigent defendants accused of state crimes in Missouri's trial, appellate, and supreme courts. It is an independent department of state government, located within, but not supervised by, the Judicial Branch. It is governed by a seven-member Public Defender Commission, appointed by the governor.

This decision item includes funding for public defenders and their support staff throughout the state and central administrative staff. It also includes partial funding for assigning conflict cases to private counsel.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)


The Missouri State Public Defender has only one program: providing constitutionally required criminal defense representation to Missourians facing the loss of liberty in state misdemeanor and felony prosecutions, as well as in appellate and post-conviction representation matters in which the state has created a right to counsel.

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department:	Office of the State Public Def	ender Budget Unit	t 15111C
Division:	Public Defender		
Core:	Legal Services	HB Section	HB 12.400

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	37,776,510	37,776,510	41,293,244	43,753,827
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Less Restricted (All Funds)*	(3,500,000)	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	34,276,510	37,776,510	41,293,244	43,753,827
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	34,276,510	37,776,509	41,293,243	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	1	1	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	0	0	0	N/A
Ou ioi	U	U	U	111/71



*Current Year restricted amount is \$0.00 as of September 16, 2019.

Reverted includes the statutory three percent reserve amount (when applicable).

Restricted includes any Governor's expenditure restrictions which remained at the end of the fiscal year (when applicable).

NOTES:

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR								
CORE								
TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE	34,210	0.94	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
SECRETARY	3,665,076	121.73	3,892,968	124.63	3,916,924	125.25	3,916,924	125.25
COMPUTER INFO. SPECIALIST	291,719	5.54	468,414	8.00	480,468	7.88	480,468	7.88
INVESTIGATOR	2,211,796	55.74	2,411,858	60.00	2,433,994	60.00	2,433,994	60.00
PARALEGAL	178,702	4.50	179,066	4.50	184,030	4.50	184,030	4.50
MITIGATION SPECIALIST	338,125	7.89	436,370	10.00	428,374	10.00	428,374	10.00
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER	20,504,138	335.75	22,063,398	343.00	22,011,092	341.00	22,011,092	341.00
DISTRICT DEFENDER	3,877,141	43.86	4,129,013	45.00	4,046,729	45.00	4,046,729	45.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	421,156	3.51	496,533	4.00	489,626	4.00	489,626	4.00
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	189,292	4.66	241,099	6.00	380,829	8.00	380,829	8.00
PROGRAM MANAGER	753,672	11.05	582,219	7.00	529,752	6.50	529,752	6.50
DIRECTOR	149,652	1.00	152,649	1.00	151,769	1.00	151,769	1.00
TOTAL - PS	32,614,679	596.17	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13	35,053,587	613.13
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	865,645	0.00	928,567	0.00	1,010,376	0.00	1,010,376	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	17,086	0.00	10,000	0.00	20,000	0.00	20,000	0.00
FUEL & UTILITIES	50,395	0.00	45,000	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00
SUPPLIES	267,708	0.00	409,100	0.00	275,000	0.00	275,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	3,944	0.00	145,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	600,998	0.00	527,960	0.00	605,000	0.00	605,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	5,691,695	0.00	4,990,000	0.00	5,522,864	0.00	5,522,864	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	120,496	0.00	120,000	0.00	120,000	0.00	120,000	0.00
M&R SERVICES	149,418	0.00	270,000	0.00	150,000	0.00	150,000	0.00
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	5,176	0.00	35,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	26,000	0.00	25,000	0.00	25,000	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	11,024	0.00	32,000	0.00	12,000	0.00	12,000	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	242	0.00	21,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	808,095	0.00	885,237	0.00	810,000	0.00	810,000	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	28,483	0.00	60,000	0.00	30,000	0.00	30,000	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	58,161	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00
TOTAL - EE	8,678,566	0.00	8,554,864	0.00	8,700,240	0.00	8,700,240	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$41,293,245	596.17	\$43,608,451	613.13	\$43,753,827	613.13	\$43,753,827	613.13
GENERAL REVENUE	\$41,293,245	596.17	\$43,608,451	613.13	\$43,753,827	613.13	\$43,753,827	613.13
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

1/15/20 17:19

im_didetail

Page 1 of 18

FLEXIBILITY REQUEST FORM

BUDGET UNIT NUMBER: 15111C DEPARTMENT: Office of the State Public Defender

BUDGET UNIT NAME: Office of the State Public Defender - Legal Services

HOUSE BILL SECTION: 12.400 DIVISION: Director's Office - Legal Services

1. Provide the amount by fund of personal service flexibility and the amount by fund of expense and equipment flexibility you are requesting in dollar and percentage terms and explain why the flexibility is needed. If flexibility is being requested among divisions, provide the amount by fund of flexibility you are requesting in dollar and percentage terms and explain why the flexibility is needed.

DEPARTMENT REQUEST

As in previous years, the Office of the State Public Defender is requesting full flexibility in our legal services appropriations. (Appropriations 0911, 0912 and 8727). Due to the turnover of attorney positions, the number of conflicts and the overload of cases, it is frequently necessary to transfer cases from state employees (Appropriation 0911) to private counsel who can be compensated from appropriation 0912 or 8727.

It is also necessary to transfer vacancy savings dollars from the Personal Service Appropriation to the Expense and Equipment Appropriation to cover appropriation shortfalls in case litigation expenses and increasing office expenses such as travel, postage, equipment maintenance and network charges.

2. Estimate how much flexibility will be used for the budget year. How much flexibility was used in the Prior Year Budget and the Current Year Budget? Please specify the amount.

PRIOR YEAR	CURRENT YEAR ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF	BUDGET REQUEST ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF
\$798,999	FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED \$1,250,000	FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED \$1,250,000

3. Please explain how flexibility was used in the prior and/or current years

PRIOR YEAR EXPLAIN ACTUAL USE	CURRENT YEAR EXPLAIN PLANNED USE
\$798,999 was transferred from Personal Service (0911) to Expense & Equipment (0912) to cover a significant shortage in litigation costs, general operating costs and \$187,938 of additional conflict/overload cases paid to private counsel.	Flexibility will be utilized to best meet the caseload demands of the State Public Defender System. Dollars from Personal Service vacancy savings could be used to meet the costs of operating the local offices or to contract out cases to private bar as the need arises or to pay for increasing necessary litigation expenses.

N	F١	N	ח	F	CI	SI	O	N	IT	ΈI	V
	_	, ,	_		v	•	v			_	

	NEW DECISION ITEM											
				RANK:	2	OF	5					
Departmen	t: Office of the Sta	te Public De	fender		В	udget Unit	15111C					
Division:	Office of the Sta											
DI Name:	FY 21 Pay Plan			I# 0000012	н	B Section	12.400					
1. AMOUN	T OF REQUEST											
	FY	2021 Budget	Request				FY 2021	Governor's	Recommend	ation		
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total		
PS		0	0	0	P	s ·	355,582	0	0	355,582		
EE	0	0	0	0	E		0	0	0	0		
PSD	0	0	0	0	P	SD	0	0	0	0		
TRF	0	0	0	0		RF	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	T	otal	355,582	0	0	355,582		
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	F	ΓΕ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	E	st. Fringe	114,142	0	0	114,142		
Note: Fring	es budgeted in Hou	se Bill 5 exce _l	ot for certain fi	ringes	N	ote: Fringes	budgeted in H	louse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes		
budgeted di	rectly to MoDOT, Hi	ighway Patrol,	and Conserv	ation.	bi	udgeted dired	tly to MoDOT	, Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.		
Other Funds	s:				0	ther Funds:				_		
2. THIS RE	QUEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	AS:									
	New Legislation			Ne	ew Program			F	und Switch			
	Federal Mandate		_	Pr	ogram Expai	nsion	_		Cost to Contin	ue		
	GR Pick-Up		_	Sp	ace Reques	t	_	E	quipment Re	placement		
Х	Pay Plan			Ot	her:							
CONSTITU	THIS FUNDING NETIONAL AUTHORIZETORY Thor's Fiscal Year 20	ZATION FOR	THIS PROGR	RAM.							ORY OR	

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK: ____ OF ___ 5

Department:Office of the State Public DefenderBudget Unit15111CDivision:Office of the State Public DefenderDI# 0000012HB Section12.400

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The appropriated amount for the Fiscal Year 2021 pay plan was based on personal service appropriations.

L	5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGET OBJECT	<u>, i CLASS,</u>	JUB CLASS,	AND FUND SU	JURCE, IDE	NIIFY UNE-	INIE COSTS	<u> </u>	
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Rea	Dept Req	Dept Rea
	2001.104	2001.109	q	2001.104	2001.104	2001.104	2001.104	_ op:oq	2001.104

	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0		
100-Salaries and Wages							0	0.0	1
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
100-Salaries and Wages	355,582						0 355,582	0.0	
Total PS	355,582	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	355,582	0.0	0
Grand Total	355,582	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	355,582	0.0	0

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR								
Pay Plan - 0000012								
SECRETARY	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	39,728	0.00
COMPUTER INFO. SPECIALIST	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	4,874	0.00
INVESTIGATOR	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	24,687	0.00
PARALEGAL	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,867	0.00
MITIGATION SPECIALIST	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	4,336	0.00
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	223,300	0.00
DISTRICT DEFENDER	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	41,052	0.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	4,970	0.00
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3,844	0.00
PROGRAM MANAGER	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5,384	0.00
DIRECTOR	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,540	0.00
TOTAL - PS	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	355,582	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$355,582	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$355,582	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

				N	IEW DECI	SION ITEM					
				RANK:	2	OF_	5				
Department:	Office of the Sta	ate Public De	fender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division:	Office of the Sta	ate Public De	fender								
DI Name	Pay Plan - FY 20	20 Cost to C	ontinue	DI# 0000013		HB Section _	12.400				
1. AMOUNT	OF REQUEST										
	FY	2021 Budge	t Request				FY 2021	Governor's I	Recommend	lation	
_	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS	504,529	0	0	504,529		PS	504,529	0	0	504,529	
EE	0	0	0	0		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF _	0	0	0	0		TRF _	0	0	0	0	
Total	504,529	0	0	504,529		Total	504,529	0	0	504,529	
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	161,954	0	0	161,954		Est. Fringe	161,954	0	0	161,954	
	s budgeted in Hou	se Bill 5 exce	pt for certain			Note: Fringes	budgeted in F	louse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes	
budgeted dire	ectly to MoDOT, Hi	ighway Patrol	, and Conser	vation.		budgeted direct	tly to MoDOT	, Highway Pati	rol, and Cons	servation.	
Other Funds:						Other Funds:					
2. THIS REQ	UEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZE	D AS:								
	New Legislation				New Prog	ram		F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate				Program E	Expansion	_	C	ost to Contin	ue	
	GR Pick-Up			;	Space Re	quest	_	E	quipment Re	placement	
X	Pay Plan		<u>-</u>		Other:		_				
The FY 202	HIS FUNDING NEIONAL AUTHORIZE O budget includes out the stated inten	ZATION FOR appropriation	THIS PROG	RAM. a 3% pay inc	rease for	employees beginn					

	NEW DECISION ITEM									
		RANK:		OF_	5					
Department:	Office of the State Public Defender		Budget Ur	it	15111C					
Division:	Office of the State Public Defender									
DI Name	Pay Plan - FY 2020 Cost to Continue	DI# 0000013	HB Section	າ _	12.400					

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The appropriated amount for the Fiscal Year 2020 pay plan was based on a 3% pay increase for employees beginning January 1, 2020. The Fiscal Year 2021 requested amount is equivalent to the remaining six months in order to provide the core funding necessary for a full fiscal year.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY B	UDGET OBJEC	T CLASS, J	<u>OB CLASS, A</u>	<u>ND FUND SO</u>	URCE. IDEN	ITIFY ONE-T	IME COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0		
100-Salaries and Wages	504,529						504,529	0.0	
Total PS	504,529	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	504,529	0.0	0
Grand Total	504.529	0.0		0.0		0.0	504.529	0.0	0
Granu rotai	504,529	0.0	U	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	504,529	0.0	U

Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
100-Salaries and Wages Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	504,529 504,529	0.0	0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	504,529	0.0	0

Cost to Continue Fiscal Year 2020 Pay Plan Legal Services - General Revenue

Job Class	Number of FTE	FY 2021 Cost to Continue
Secretary	125.25	\$55,837
Computer Info. Specialist	7.88	\$6,922
Program Technician	8.00	\$3,563
Investigator	60.00	\$34,709
Paralegal	4.50	\$2,646
Mitigation Specialist	10.00	\$5,232
Assistant Public Defender	341.00	\$318,957
District Defender	45.00	\$58,465
Program Manager	6.50	\$8,604
Division Directors & Deputy Dir.	4.00	\$7,338
Director	1.00	\$2,256
	613.13	\$504,529

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR									
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013									
SECRETARY	(0.00	0	0.00	55,837	0.00	55,837	0.00	
COMPUTER INFO. SPECIALIST	(0.00	0	0.00	6,922	0.00	6,922	0.00	
INVESTIGATOR	(0.00	0	0.00	34,709	0.00	34,709	0.00	
PARALEGAL	(0.00	0	0.00	2,646	0.00	2,646	0.00	
MITIGATION SPECIALIST	(0.00	0	0.00	5,232	0.00	5,232	0.00	
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER	(0.00	0	0.00	318,957	0.00	318,957	0.00	
DISTRICT DEFENDER	(0.00	0	0.00	58,465	0.00	58,465	0.00	
DIVISION DIRECTOR	(0.00	0	0.00	7,338	0.00	7,338	0.00	
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	(0.00	0	0.00	3,563	0.00	3,563	0.00	
PROGRAM MANAGER	(0.00	0	0.00	8,604	0.00	8,604	0.00	
DIRECTOR	(0.00	0	0.00	2,256	0.00	2,256	0.00	
TOTAL - PS	(0.00	0	0.00	504,529	0.00	504,529	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$504,529	0.00	\$504,529	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$504,529	0.00	\$504,529	0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	

				ı	NEW DECIS	SION ITEM					
				RANK:	2	OF	5				
Denartmen	t: Office of the Sta	ata Public Da	fandar			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division:	Public Defender		iender			Budget Offit	131110				
	Mileage Reimburs		ncrease D	I# 0000015		HB Section	12.400				
1. AMOUN	T OF REQUEST								_		
		2021 Budget	-	T - 4 - 1				Governor's			
PS	GR	Federal 0	Other 0	Total ∩		PS	GR 0	Federal 0	Other 0	Total 0	
EE	145,376	0	0	145,376		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	145,576	0	0	145,570		PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	145,376	0	0	145,376		Total	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
_	ies budgeted in Hou irectly to MoDOT, H			-		_	s budgeted in F ectly to MoDOT		•	•	
buagetea at	rectly to MoDOT, H	igriway Patroi,	and Conserv	aliOH.		buagetea aire	city to MODOT,	, nigriway Pat	ioi, and Cons	ervation.	
Other Funds	s:					Other Funds:					
2 THIS RE	QUEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	Λς.								
Z. TITIO IXL	New Legislation	AI LOOKIZED	, AO.		New Progra	am		F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate		_		Program E		_	C	cost to Contin	ue	
	GR Pick-Up		_		Space Req	uest	_	E	quipment Re	placement	
	Pay Plan		_	Χ	Other:	Mileage Reim	bursement Rat	e Increase			
	THIS FUNDING NE				I FOR ITEM	IS CHECKED II	N #2. INCLUD	E THE FEDEI	RAL OR STA	TE STATUTO	ORY OR
CONSTITU	TIONAL AUTHORIZ	ZATION FOR	THIS PROGE	RAM.							
	nts were appropriate									f a proposed t	three-
year \$.18 i	increase. This requ	est is for an a	dditional \$.06	increase, w	hich would	bring the mileag	ge reimburseme	ent rate to \$.49	9.		

NEW DECISION ITEM						
	RANK:	2	_ OF	5		
Department: Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	15111C		
Division: Public Defender						
DI Name Mileage Reimbursement Rate Increase	DI# 0000015		HB Section	12.400		

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

This request will increase the State of Missouri mileage reimbursement rate from \$.43 to \$.49. As can be seen in the table below, the current federal mileage reimbursement rate is \$.58.

			Mileage Re	imbursemer	nt Rates					
Jan. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2014 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2016 - Dec. 31, 2016 - Dec. 31, 2017 - Dec. 31, 2017 - Dec. 31, 2018 - June 30, 2019 June 30, 2019										
IRS	56.5	56	57.5	54	53.5	54.5	58	58		
State of Missouri	State of Missouri 37 37 37 37 37 37 43									

	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Rec
Budget Object Class/Job Class	GR DOLLARS	GR FTE	FED DOLLARS	FED FTE	OTHER DOLLARS	OTHER FTE	TOTAL DOLLARS	TOTAL FTE	One-Time
Dudget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	116	DOLLARO	116	DOLLANG	116	DOLLANG	116	DOLLAR
Travel /140	145,376						145,376		
							0		
Total EE	145,376		0		0		145,376		
Grand Total	145,376	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	145,376	0.0	
Grand Total	145,376	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	145,376	0.0	

		P	NEW DECIS	ON ITEM					
		RANK:	2	OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public	Defender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Public Defender DI Name Mileage Reimbursement Rat	o Incresso	DI# 0000015		HB Section	12.400				
Di Name - wheave Kennbursement Kar	e iiiciease	DI# 0000015		TID SECTION	12.400				
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec
Budget Object Class / Joh Class	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
Travel /140	145,376						0		
Total EE	145,376		0	_	0		0		0
Grand Total	145,376	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR									
Mileage Reimburse Rate Incr - 0000015									
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	0	0.00	0	0.00	145,376	0.00	0	0.00	
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	145,376	0.00	0	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$145,376	0.00	\$0	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$145,376	0.00		0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	

				N	NEW DEC	ISION ITEM				
				RANK:	5	OF	5			
Department	: Office of the Sta	te Public Def	ender			Budget Unit	15111C			
Division:	Legal Services									
DI Name:	Wait List Elimina	ation		DI#: 115100	1	HB Section	12.400			
1. AMOUNT	OF REQUEST									
	FY	2021 Budget	Request				FY 202	1 Governor's	Recommend	lation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0		PS	0	0	0	0
EE	3,349,000	0	0	3,349,000		EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	3,349,000	0	0	3,349,000		Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
_	s budgeted in Hou	•		_		_	-	House Bill 5 ex	•	_
budgeted dire	ectly to MoDOT, H	ighway Patrol,	and Conser	vation.		budgeted dire	ectly to MoDO	T, Highway Pa	trol, and Cons	servation.
Other Funds:	:					Other Funds:				
	UEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	AS:							
	New Legislation		_		New Pro				und Switch	
	Federal Mandate		<u>-</u>		-	Expansion			Cost to Contin	
	GR Pick-Up		<u>-</u>		Space R	equest		E	Equipment Re	placement
	Pay Plan		_	X	Other:	Constitutiona	lly Mandated F	Representation	<u> </u>	

NEW DECISION ITEM						
		RANK:	5	_ OF	5	
Department:	Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	15111C	
Division:	Legal Services					
DI Name:	Wait List Elimination	DI#: 1151001		HB Section	12.400	

3. WHY IS THIS FUNDING NEEDED? PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR ITEMS CHECKED IN #2. INCLUDE THE FEDERAL OR STATE STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORIZATION FOR THIS PROGRAM.

As of June 30, 2019, in 14 of MSPD's 33 trial offices there were 5,054 qualified criminal defendants on "wait lists". In addition, two of MSPD's appellate offices had 78 cases place on wait lists. Approximately, eighteen (18) months ago these wait lists stood at zero.

The Missouri State Public Defender System has lacked resources for years to adequately address its caseloads. The system has accepted the cases assigned to it without regard to the individual attorney's caseloads. In September 2017, the Supreme Court ruled that Public Defenders ethical standards are not mitigated by an excessive caseload. The wait lists and the numerous motions filed across the state pursuant to RSMo. 600.063 are the direct result of MSPD's attorneys struggling to reduce their caseloads to avoid ethical complaints about the quality of their representation. Many trial judges are ordering Public Defenders into representation notwithstanding their assertion that they cannot ethically provide representation in any additional cases.

This funding would allow MSPD to eliminate these wait lists without adding a single new FTE; rather it would the funding to contract with private attorneys to provide representation and eliminate the existing wait lists.

NEW DECISION ITEM							
		RANK:	5	OF	5		
Department:	Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	151110		
Division:	Legal Services						
DI Name:	Wait List Elimination	DI#: 1151001		HB Section	12.400		

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

Type Code	Case Type	Trial & Appellate Division Cases Wait List	Contract Fee	Cost
20	Other Homicide	4	\$12,000	\$48,000
30D	AB Felony Drug	24	\$750	\$18,000
30F	AB Felony Other	122	\$1,500	\$183,000
30X	AB Felony Sex	28	\$2,000	\$56,000
35D	CDE Felony Drug	1,271	\$750	\$953,250
35F	CDE Felony Other	1,722	\$750	\$1,291,500
35X	CDE Felony Sex	19	\$1,500	\$28,500
45M	Misdemeanor	1,118	\$375	\$419,250
45T	Misdemeanor - Traffic	218	\$375	\$81,750
50N	Juvenile - Non Violent	8	\$500	\$4,000
50S	Juvenile - Status	4	\$500	\$2,000
50V	Juvenile - Violent	18	\$750	\$13,500
60	552 Release Petitions	2	\$500	\$1,000
65F	Probation Violation - Felony	384	\$375	\$144,000
65M	Probation Violation - Misd	112	\$375	\$42,000
110F	Direct Appeals - Felony	1	\$3,750	\$3,750
124M	Rule 24.035 Motion	70	\$750	\$52,500
129M	Rule 29.15 Motion	7	\$1,000	\$7,000
	Totals	5,132		\$3,349,000

		<u> </u>	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:	5	OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public D	efender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Legal Services									
DI Name: Wait List Elimination		DI#: 115100	1	HB Section	12.400				
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUI	DGET OBJEC		OB CLASS, A	ND FUND SO	OURCE. IDEN	ITIFY ONE-T	IME COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
400 / Professional Costs	2 240 000						3,349,000		
400 / Professional Costs	3,349,000						3,349,000		
Total EE	3,349,000		0				3,349,000		0
Grand Total	3,349,000	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0	0
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
400 / Professional Costs							0		
Total EE	0		0		0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Granu Total		0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	<u> </u>

		ı	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:		OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public D	efender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Legal Services									
DI Name: Wait List Elimination		DI#: 115100	1	HB Section	12.400				
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUI	OGET OBJEC		OB CLASS, A	ND FUND SO	OURCE. IDEN	ITIFY ONE-T	IME COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
400 / Professional Costs	3,349,000						3,349,000		
4007 Floressional Costs	3,349,000						3,349,000		
Total EE	3,349,000	•	0				3,349,000		0
Grand Total	3,349,000	0.0	0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
400 / Professional Costs									
_ ,							0		
Total EE	0		0		0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

OFFICE OF THE STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER **DECISION ITEM DETAIL Budget Unit** FY 2019 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 **Decision Item** ACTUAL ACTUAL **BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ GOV REC GOV REC Budget Object Class** DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR FTE **DOLLAR FTE** DOLLAR FTE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR Wait List Elimination - 1151001 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 0 0.00 0 0.00 3,349,000 0.00 0 0.00 **TOTAL - EE** 0.00 0.00 3,349,000 0 0 0.00 0 0.00 **GRAND TOTAL** \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$3,349,000 **GENERAL REVENUE** \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$3,349,000 0.00 0.00

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

FEDERAL FUNDS

OTHER FUNDS

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

				ı	NEW DECI	SION ITEM					
				RANK:	5	OF	55				
Donortmont	Office of the Sta	ata Dublia Dat	fondor			Dudget Unit	151110				
Division:	Office of the Sta	ate Public Dei	iender		Ī	Budget Unit	151110				
DI Name:	Legal Services	basisani		DI# 1151002		HB Section	12 400				
Di Naille.	Information Tec	illiology		DI# 1151002	<u>2</u>	no Section	12.400				
1. AMOUNT	OF REQUEST										
	FY	2021 Budget	Request				FY 202	1 Governor's	Recommend	lation	
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS	0	0	0	0	•	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE	1,288,890	0	0	1,288,890		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	1,288,890	0	0	1,288,890	•	Total	0	0	0	0	
<u>-</u>					•						
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
Note: Fringe	s budgeted in Hou	ise Bill 5 excep	ot for certain	fringes		Note: Fringe	s budgeted in	House Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes	
budgeted dire	ectly to MoDOT, H	ighway Patrol,	and Conser	vation.		budgeted dire	ectly to MoDO	T, Highway Pa	trol, and Cons	servation.	
Other Funds	:					Other Funds:	:				
2. THIS REC	UEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	AS:								,
	New Legislation		<u>-</u>		New Progr	am		F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate		_		Program E			(Cost to Contin	ue	
	GR Pick-Up		<u>_</u>		Space Rec	luest		X E	Equipment Re	placement	
	Pay Plan		_		Other:						

		1	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:	5	OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public D	efender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Legal Services	Ciciiaci			Daaget Omt	101110				
DI Name: Information Technology		DI# 1151002	2	HB Section	12.400				
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUI	DGET OBJEC	T CLASS. J	OB CLASS. A	ND FUND SO	OURCE. IDEN	TIFY ONE-T	IME COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
480 / Computer Equipments	1,288,890						1,288,890		773,640
Total EE	1,288,890	•	0				1,288,890		773,640
	-,,		_		_		-,,		,
Grand Total	1,288,890	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,288,890	0.0	773,640
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
480 / Computer Equipments							0		
Tree results Equipments							0		
Total EE	0	•	0		0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

	NE	W DECIS	SION ITEM		
	RANK:	5	OF		5
Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	1511	1C
Legal Services					
Information Technology	DI# 1151002		HB Section	12.40	00
	Legal Services	Office of the State Public Defender Legal Services	Office of the State Public Defender Legal Services	RANK: 5 OF Office of the State Public Defender Legal Services Budget Unit	Office of the State Public Defender Legal Services RANK: 5 OF Budget Unit 1511

Device	End of Life Expectancy
Laptop PC	3 Years
Desktop PC	4 Years
Server	5 Years
Networking Gear	5 Years
Monitors	8 Years

DEVICE	5+ YEARS	COST	Total
LAPTOPS	439	\$1,200	\$526,800
MONITORS	375	\$130	\$48,750
WORKSTATIONS	232	\$700	\$162,400
PRINTERS (ALL TYPES)	102	\$650	\$66,300
SERVERS	61	\$4,500	\$274,500
SWITCHES	56	\$2,215	\$124,040
UPS	28	\$575	\$16,100
FIREWALLS	2	\$35,000	\$70,000

MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

In order to ensure MSPD's equipment is updated to modern technology to run new systems and to comply with security standards, MSPD is seeking an ongoing \$500,000 to routinely update firewalls, laptops and desktop equipment. With an on-going, secured core - funding other outdated equipment, such as servers, switches and printers will be placed on a planned replacement schedule. Laptops and desktops will be added to a rotating schedule.

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR								
Information Technology Replace - 1151002								
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,288,890	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,288,890	0.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,288,890	0.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00

NEW DECISION ITEM

Dan autus : 4	. Office of the Offi	4a Dulalia Dul	£		Deadard Unit	454440			
Department Division:	: Office of the Sta Legal Services	te Public Dei	renaer		Budget Unit	15111C			
DI Name:	Springfield Appel	llate Office	Γ	DI# 1151003	HB Section	12.400			
1. AMOUNT	OF REQUEST								
	FY:	2021 Budget	Request			FY 2021 (Governor's F	Recommenda	ation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	491,352	0	0	491,352	PS	0	0	0	0
EE	104,540	0	0	104,540	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	595,892	0	0	595,892	Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	9.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	279.782	0	0	279,782	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
	es budgeted in Hous	se Bill 5 exce	ot for certain f			budgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 exc	cept for certain	n fringes
budgeted dir	ectly to MoDOT, Hig	ghway Patrol,	and Conserv	ration.	budgeted dire	ctly to MoDOT, I	Highway Patr	ol, and Conse	ervation.
Other Funds	:				Other Funds:				
2. THIS REC	QUEST CAN BE CA	TEGORIZED	AS:						
	New Legislation			١	New Program		Fı	und Switch	
	Federal Mandate			X F	Program Expansion		C	ost to Continu	ie
	GR Pick-Up				Space Request		E	quipment Rep	lacement
	Pay Plan			(Other:		_		

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK:	5	OF	5	
		_		

Department	t: Office of the State Public Defende	er	Budget Unit 15111C
Division:	Legal Services	_	
DI Name:	Springfield Appellate Office	DI# 1151003	HB Section 12.400

The attorneys in this division represent defendants who have already been convicted of a crime and are raising issues of error in the judicial process that led to their conviction. Post-conviction counsel must always review and raise, where appropriate, the issue of ineffective assistance of the client's previous counsel. This means that the office that provides appellate representation for a client will always have a conflict handling that client's post-conviction proceedings, which is why MSPD has six appellate/post-conviction offices, two each in St. Louis, Kansas City, and Columbia. Each pair of offices is able to handle conflict cases for one another, without (most) of those cases having to be shipped across the state to one of the other two appellate/post-conviction office locations.

However, travel is still a significant problem in this division because of the nature of post-conviction proceedings, which take place in the circuit court of conviction. This means MSPD has five offices covering post-conviction proceedings in 114 counties plus the City of St. Louis. (Only one of the offices in Columbia handles post-conviction matters, the other handles only appellate cases.) The map on the following pages show how the counties are currently divided among the existing offices. As the map indicates, the heavier concentration of cases in the two urban areas of St. Louis and Kansas City leave the attorneys in those areas unable to take on as many counties as their Central Missouri counterparts are required to cover. But even with fewer cases coming in from each of the outstate (gray) counties, MSPD's Central PCR office is carrying a caseload at 260% of its attorney capacity. The amount of travel involved makes it an equation that is simply not sustainable.

NEW DECISION ITEN	NEV	V D	EC	ISIO	N	ITE	ΞN
--------------------------	-----	-----	----	------	---	-----	----

RANK:

Departmen	t: Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	15111C		
Division:	Legal Services						
I Name:	Springfield Appellate Office	DI# 1151003	}	HB Section	12.400		

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

MSPD has attempted to reduce the travel burden on these offices by contracting out "remote-county PCR's", as they are known within the System, to local private counsel, but this approach has not been successful. Post-conviction practice is unique and very technical. Very few private attorneys have any experience, much less expertise, in these types of cases. MSPD's attempts to contract these cases to private counsel have too frequently resulted in the cases having to be brought back in-System to correct significant, case-changing errors made by attorneys who are in over their heads. MSPD attempted to address this problem by offering training to private attorneys interested in taking these cases, but that, too, has proved insufficient to the task. Few accepted the opportunity and those who did, ended up taking these cases so infrequently that any benefit they may have received from the training has long since worn off by the time they get their next PCR.

Therefore, this decision item will address the problem by adding an additional office in Springfield. Missouri's appellate courts are located in St. Louis, Kansas City, and Springfield (with the Supreme Court in Jefferson City), so the new office would be conveniently located to the appellate court, while also reducing the travel time associated with a majority of the post-conviction cases in southwest Missouri. As expected, given the fact that Springfield is Missouri's third most populated city and Joplin is not far behind, the southwest region of the state accounts for a significant number of the post-conviction cases currently overloading the Central PCR office. Creating an additional Appellate/PCR office in Springfield will siphon these cases from the Columbia office, provide better service to the clients and courts in Southwest Missouri while reducing travel costs and freeing up time for the Columbia Central PCR attorneys to better handle the workload in the remainder of Missouri's outstate counties.

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK: ____ 5 OF _____5

Budget Unit 15111C Department: Office of the State Public Defender Division:


Legal Services

	(DOWN THE REQUEST BY BU	Dept Req GR	Dept Req GR	Dept Req FED	Dept Req FED	Dept Req OTHER	Dept Req OTHER	Dept Req TOTAL	Dept Req TOTAL	Dept Req One-Time
Budget O	bject Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
00200	Secretary	58,956	2.0					58,956	2.0	
00300	Investigator	33,276	1.0					33,276	1.0	
00400	Assistant Public Defender	309,480	5.0					309,480	5.0	
00460	District Defender	89,640	1.0					89,640	1.0	
otal PS		491,352	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	491,352	9.0	0
40 / Trav	el	24,000						24,000		
90 / Sup	olies	5,400						5,400		
30 / Rent	İ	61,250						61,250		
40 / Phoi	nes & Network Costs	6,390						6,390		
00 / Prof	essional Costs	7,500						7,500		
otal EE		104,540		0		0		104,540		0
rand To	tal	595,892	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	595,892	9.0	0

NEW DECISION ITEM
RANK: 5 OF 5

•	nt: Office of the State Public De	efender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: DI Name:	Legal Services Springfield Appellate Office		DI# 1151003	}	HB Section	12.400				
Budget Ob	signt Class/Joh Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
Duaget Ob	pject Class/Job Class	DULLARS	FIE	DULLARS	FIE	DULLARS	FIE	DULLARS	FIE	DULLARS
C00200	Secretary	0	0.0					0	0.0	
C00300	Investigator	0	0.0					0	0.0	
C00400	Assistant Public Defender	0	0.0					0	0.0	
C00460	District Defender	0	0.0					0	0.0	
Total PS		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
140 / Trave	el	0						0		
190 / Supp	llies	0						0		
680 / Rent		0						0		
340 / Phon	es & Network Costs	0						0		
400 / Profe	essional Costs	0						0		
		<u>P</u>			_					
Total EE		0		0		0		0		0 E
Grand Tota	al	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0 E
	•									


Current PCR County Assignments



Post Conviction Relief - Trials
(Circuit Court Level)

Central PCR
District 67
Eastern Appellate/PCR
Districts 51 & 68
Western Appellate/PCR
Districts 52 & 69

Proposed PCR County Assignments



Post Conviction Relief - Trials
(Circuit Court Level)

Central PCR
District 67
Eastern Appellate/PCR
Districts 51 & 68
Western Appellate/PCR
Districts 52 & 69
Proposed PCR Office
District 66

Missouri State Public Defender SPRINGFIELD APPELLATE OFFICE **Job Titles** FTE Salary Cost District Defender 1.00 \$89,640 \$89,640 Assistant Public Defenders III 5.00 \$61,896 \$309,480 Legal Assistant \$28,716 \$28,716 1.00 Investigator 1.00 \$33,276 \$33,276 1.00 Secretary \$30,240 \$30,240 \$491,352 TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICE 9.00 Travel - \$250/ mo * 12 * 8 = Mileage \$24,000 Supplies \$3,000 Professional \$7,500 Telephone \$90 * 11.50 \$990 Network Costs \$450 * 12 months \$5,400 Postage \$300 * 12 months \$2,400 **Building Costs** \$61,250 **TOTAL EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT** \$104,540 TOTAL COST \$595,892

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR								
Springfield Appellate Office - 1151003								
SECRETARY	C	0.00	0	0.00	58,956	2.00	0	0.00
INVESTIGATOR	C	0.00	0	0.00	33,276	1.00	0	0.00
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER	C	0.00	0	0.00	309,480	5.00	0	0.00
DISTRICT DEFENDER	C	0.00	0	0.00	89,640	1.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	491,352	9.00	0	0.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	C	0.00	0	0.00	24,000	0.00	0	0.00
SUPPLIES	C	0.00	0	0.00	5,400	0.00	0	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	C	0.00	0	0.00	6,390	0.00	0	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	C	0.00	0	0.00	7,500	0.00	0	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	C	0.00	0	0.00	61,250	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	O	0.00	0	0.00	104,540	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$595,892	9.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$595,892	9.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00

				ı	NEW DEC	ISION ITEM				
				RANK:	5	OF_	5			
Department	t: Office of the Sta	ate Public De	fender			Budget Unit	11511C			
Division:	Legal Services					-				
DI Name:	Increased Contr	act Counsel	Fees	DI# 1151004	ı	HB Section	12.400			
1. AMOUNT	Γ OF REQUEST									
	FY	2021 Budget	t Request				FY 202	1 Governor's	Recommend	lation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0		PS	0	0	0	0
EE	2,309,259	0	0	2,309,259		EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	2,309,259	0	0	2,309,259		Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe		0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
	es budgeted in Hou					Note: Fringes	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 e	xcept for certa	ain fringes
budgeted dir	rectly to MoDOT, H	ighway Patrol	, and Conse	vation.		budgeted direc	ctly to MoDO1	Г, Highway Pa	trol, and Cons	servation.
Other Funds	3 :					Other Funds:				
2. THIS REC	QUEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	AS:							
	New Legislation				New Prog		_		Fund Switch	
	Federal Mandate					Expansion		(Cost to Contin	iue
	GR Pick-Up				Space Re	equest	_		Equipment Re	placement
	Pay Plan			Х	Other:	Contract Rate	Adjustment -			

		NE	W DECISION I	ГЕМ	
		RANK:	5	OF_	5
Department:	Office of the State Public Defender		Bud	get Unit _	11511C
Division:	Legal Services				
DI Name:	Increased Contract Counsel Fees	DI# 1151004	HB :	Section _	12.400

3. WHY IS THIS FUNDING NEEDED? PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR ITEMS CHECKED IN #2. INCLUDE THE FEDERAL OR STATE STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORIZATION FOR THIS PROGRAM.

MSPD is requesting an additional \$2,309,259 to increase private attorney fee rates paid in contracted cases.

In Fiscal Year 2018, MSPD spending authority for case contracting increased by \$4,500,000, nearly tripling our case contracting budget. These funds are used for contracting case conflicts to private attorneys. As a result MSPD increased the number of cases contracted to private attorneys from 3,938 in FY17 to 10,266 in FY18. In Fiscal Year 2019, 9,501 cases were contracted. Over thirteen percent (13%) of MSPD's total caseload was provided representation by private counsel. Eliminating or minimizing conflicts provides for more efficient use of staff attorney time by reducing time-consuming travel as well as providing critical caseload relief to an unconstitutionally overburdened system. Indigent defense in Missouri is now truly a public-private partnership.

However, despite the resulting need for more private attorneys to participate in MSPD's panel attorney program and despite significant recruiting efforts, as a result of low, outdated fees MSPD has been unable to significantly recruit additional private attorneys, with the number participating remaining around 230, leaving far too few private attorneys to handle the large number of cases we contract across the state. For instance there are no active panel attorneys in 65 of Missouri's counties. In many other counties there are too few, or too few with the necessary experience and willingness to handle serious cases. As a result, in order to provide coverage to the entire state, MSPD requires that panel attorneys sign up by Judicial Circuit rather than by County and frequently assigns cases to private attorneys more than 45 miles away, and sometimes significantly more. As a result of too many assignments to too few panel attorneys, the participating panel attorneys frequently remove themselves from the panel for a period of time because their caseloads have grown too large to be manageable.

In order to successfully continue this public-private partnership MSPD must be able to recruit and retain more panel attorneys. MSPD's current attorney fee schedule has remained the same for almost two decades, with no increase in compensation to private attorneys. Therefore, MSPD is requesting an increase in the fee rates paid to private attorneys. The resulting rates would continue to be significantly below market rate, but would be a meaningful increase, encouraging private attorneys to join and remain on MSPD's panel and to allow cases to be handled more expeditiously by having attorneys closer to the courts, to the incarcerated clients, and to the witnesses

		NE	W DECIS	SION ITEM	
		RANK:	5	OF_	5
Department:	Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	11511C
Division:	Legal Services	_		_	
DI Name:	Increased Contract Counsel Fees	DI# 1151004		HB Section _	12.400

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

Missouri State Public Defender

	Private Counsel Fee Schedule												
Case Type	Description	FY2020 Contract Rates	FY2021 Requested Contract Rates	Amount of Increase	Percentage of Increase	Projected FY2021 Cases Assigned	Additional FY2020 Costs						
15	Murder 1st Degree	\$10,000	\$12,000	\$2,000	20.00%	36	\$71,846						
62	Sexual Predator Trial	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$0	0.00%	1	\$0						
62	Sexual Predator Hearing	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0	0.00%	-	\$0						
20	Other Homicide	\$6,000	\$7,000	\$1,000	16.67%	59	\$59,224						
30D	AB Felony Drug	\$750	\$1,000	\$250	33.33%	119	\$29,855						
30F	AB Felony Other	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$500	33.33%	652	\$326,219						
30X	AB Felony Sex	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$500	25.00%	85	\$42,719						
35D	CDE Felony Drug	\$750	\$1,000	\$250	33.33%	2,506	\$626,466						
35F	CDE Felony Other	\$750	\$1,000	\$250	33.33%	3,438	\$859,479						
35X	CDE Felony Sex	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$500	33.33%	24	\$12,136						
45M	Misdemeanor	\$375	\$500	\$125	33.33%	1,153	\$144,177						
50N	Juvenile - Non Violent	\$500	\$500	\$0	0.00%	69	\$0						
50V	Juvenile - Violent	\$750	\$1,000	\$250	33.33%	32	\$8,010						
65	Probation Violation	\$375	\$500	\$125	33.33%	1,023	\$127,915						
110F & S	Direct Appeals - Felony & Misd.	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$0	0.00%	12	\$0						
124A	Rule 24.035 Appeal	\$500	\$750	\$250	50.00%	-	\$0						
124M	Rule 24.035 Motion	\$500	\$750	\$250	50.00%	5	\$1,214						
129A	Rule 29.15 Appeal	\$1,875	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%	2	\$0						
129M	Rule 29.15 Motion	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%	7	\$0						
						9,224	\$2,309,259						

		N	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:	5	OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public De	efender			Budget Unit	11511C				
Division: Legal Services									
DI Name: Increased Contract Counsel	Fees	DI# 1151004	l .	HB Section	12.400				
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUI	OGET OBJEC	T CLASS JO	OB CLASS	AND FUND SO	URCE IDEN	ITIFY ONF-T	IME COSTS		
O. BREAK BOWN THE REGOOD BY BOIL	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
400 / Professional Costs	2,309,259						2,309,259		
Total EE	2,309,259	-	0		0		2, 309,259		0
Grand Total	2,309,259	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,309,259	0.0	0
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	O
400 / Professional Costs	0						0		
Total EE	0	-	0				0 		
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
1									

OFFICE OF THE STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER **DECISION ITEM DETAIL Budget Unit** FY 2019 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 **Decision Item** ACTUAL ACTUAL **BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ GOV REC GOV REC Budget Object Class** DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR FTE **DOLLAR FTE** DOLLAR FTE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR Contract Fee Rates - 1151004 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 0 0.00 0 0.00 2,309,259 0.00 0 0.00 **TOTAL - EE** 0.00 0.00 0 0 2,309,259 0.00 0 0.00 **GRAND TOTAL** \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$2,309,259

\$0

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

0.00

\$2,309,259

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

0.00

GENERAL REVENUE

FEDERAL FUNDS

OTHER FUNDS

\$0

\$0

\$0

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

				N	NEW DEC	ISION ITEM				
				RANK:	5	OF_	5			
Department	: Office of the Sta	te Public Def	ender			Budget Unit	15111C			
Division:	Legal Services					_				
DI Name:	Constitutionally	Mandated Re	presentation	DI# 11510	<u>)05</u>	HB Section _	12.400			
1. AMOUNT	F OF REQUEST									
		2021 Budget	Request				FY 202	1 Governor's		lation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		_	GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	431,856	0	0	431,856		PS	0	0	0	0
EE	72,050	0	0	72,050		EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	503,906	0	0	503,906		Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	8.00	0.00	0.00	8.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	247,122	0	0	247,122		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
	es budgeted in Hou	se Bill 5 exce _l	ot for certain fri	inges		Note: Fringes	budgeted in I	louse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
budgeted dir	rectly to MoDOT, H	ighway Patrol,	and Conserva	ation.		budgeted direc	tly to MoDOT	, Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.
Other Funds	s:					Other Funds:				
2. THIS REC	QUEST CAN BE CA	ATEGORIZED	AS:							
	New Legislation				New Prog	ıram 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮 💮		F	und Switch	
	Federal Mandate				Program	Expansion	_		cost to Contin	ue
	GR Pick-Up				Space Re	equest	_	E	quipment Re	placement
	Pay Plan			Χ	Other:	Constitutionally	Mandated R	epresentation		
3 WHY IS 1	THIS FUNDING NE	FDFD2 PRO	VIDE AN EXP	Ι ΔΝΔΤΙΟΝ	I FOR ITE	MS CHECKED IN	#2 INCLUD	E THE FEDE	RAL OR STA	TE STATUTOR
	TIONAL AUTHORIZ					o on Lorez in	#2: H(0202			
requests,	I in the program de , the media and ev throughout the sta	entually law	suits, the Mis	souri State	Public D	efender (MSPD) ha	as warned th	at the rights	of poor Miss	ourians are be

		NEV	V DECIS	SION ITEM	
		RANK:	5	OF_	5
Department	: Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit _	15111C
Division:	Legal Services				
DI Name:	Constitutionally Mandated Representation	DI# 1151005		HB Section _	12.400

Over the last couple of decades, the issue of Missouri Public Defender's workload has been the subject of five different studies: one by a Missouri Bar Task Force, two by *The Spangenberg Group*, an independent consultant, another by a Senate Interim Committee, and the most recent by the American Bar Association titled *The Missouri Project*. Each of these investigations reached the same conclusion: Missouri's public defenders have too many cases and not enough lawyers or support staff to fulfill the state's constitutional obligations.

The most recent ABA study (in June of 2014), conducted and overseen by RubinBrown of St. Louis, one of the nation's top accounting and business analytics firms, was designed to not only identify excessive work overloads – which it did -- but also to establish reliable case weights to determine what staffing levels are needed to match the existing workload (i.e., the average number of hours a competent attorney could expect to spend on a particular case type to provide competent representation as represented in the chart to the right).

To facilitate this review, MSPD became the first public defender system in the country to require its attorneys to track time in five minute increments. Applying the Delphi methodology, a proven business-analysis model, the ABA Report, "The Missouri Project", found that MSPD did not have nearly enough resources to meet its obligations and that a significant number of additional attorneys were needed.

When these case weights are applied to MSPD's caseload, the number of staff MSPD would need to meet its existing caseload is 327 additional attorneys (see case weight metrics). Of the 327 needed attorneys, 6 attorneys are requested in the Springfield Appellate Office and an additional 6 attorneys are requested as a small beginning effort to fully, adequately staff public defender offices.

ABA/RubinBrown Workload Study **Hours Per Type of Case** Non-Capital Homicide 106.6 A/B Felony Offense 47.6 C/D Felony Offense 25.0 Sex Offense - Felony 63.8 Misdemeanor 11.7 Juvenile 19.5 Appeals/PCR 96.5 Probation Violation 9.8

	NEV	W DECISION ITEM		
	RANK:	5	OF_	5
Department: Office of the State Public Defender		Budget	Unit _	15111C
Division: Legal Services				
DI Name: Constitutionally Mandated Representation	on DI# 1151005	HB Sect	tion _	12.400

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

Attorney Staff Needed to Handle Remaining Caseload: Removing all conflict cases helped to reduce the public defender case overload, but it does not eliminate it. Assuming a consistent caseload, MSPD would still be 327 lawyers short of the number of attorneys needed according to the ABA—RubinBrown Missouri Project report. This number is determined by applying RubinBrown's average case weights to the number of cases for each case type assigned for Fiscal Year 2019. The number was calculated after all conflicts have been eliminated and contracted to private attorneys. Attorney travel time and court time were added to the RubinBrown metrics calculations, resulting in a total of 1,488,884 attorney hours required. Assuming 2,080 available attorney hours each year—696.50 attorneys would be required to provide effective, constitutional representation. The current number of Trial and Appellate Division attorneys is 369.50. There are 6 attorneys requested in a separate Springfield Appellate decision item; leaving 321 attorneys. Six attorneys are requested in this decision item as beginning to fully staff local public defender offices.

Support Staff: Every law practice management expert will affirm that lawyer time needs to be leveraged by utilizing support staff for everything that can be done by a non-lawyer. This allows the lawyer to focus on tasks that only a lawyer can do. Therefore, MSPD is requesting 1 legal assistant for every 3 attorneys; that would mean 107 legal assistants in order to meet that ratio for full funding. 2 legal assistants are requested in this decision item to staff the 6 attorneys requested.

Attorneys and support staff would be allocated to the most over-worked offices based on several factors including but not limited to: the RubinBrown caseload weights, problematic counties to practice in, difficult prosecutors to negotiate with, office space available, etc.

The calculations for the Trial Division office only include the juvenile cases where the public defender system is currently providing juvenile representation. Some local public defender offices do not provide representation for juveniles and in those instances many counties are contracting with private attorneys to do so.

The calculations for the Trial Division office only include the juvenile cases where the public defender system is currently providing juvenile representation. Some local public defender offices do not provide representation for juveniles and in those instances many counties are contracting with private attorneys to do so.

		l	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:		OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public I	Defender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Legal Services				•					
DI Name: Constitutionally Mandated	Representatio	n DI# 11510	<u>005</u>	HB Section	12.400				
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BI									
	Dept Req GR	Dept Req GR	Dept Req FED	Dept Req FED	Dept Req OTHER	Dept Req OTHER	Dept Req TOTAL	Dept Req TOTAL	Dept Req One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
C00200 Secretary	60,480	2.0					60,480	2.0	
C00400 Assistant Public Defender	371,376	6.0					371,376	6.0	
Total PS	431,856	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	431,856	8.0	
140 / Travel	21,000						21,000		
190 / Supplies	3,350						3,350		
680 / Rent	15,200						15,200		
340 / Phone & Network Costs	9,600						9,600		
480 / Computer Equipment	9,400						9,400		
580 / Office Equipment	10,810						10,810		
590 / Other Equipment	2,690						2,690		
Total EE	72,050	,	0	-	0		72,050		
Program Distributions				_			0		
Total PSD	0		0	•	0		0		
Transfers									
Total TRF	0	•	0	•	0		0		
Grand Total	503,906	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	503,906	8.0	

		ı	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM					
		RANK:	5	OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public I	Defender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Legal Services					_				
DI Name: Constitutionally Mandated	Representatio	n DI# 11510	<u>005</u>	HB Section	12.400				
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
C00200 Secretary	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0	
C00400 Assistant Public Defender	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
140 / Travel	0		0		0		0		
190 / Supplies	0		0		0		0		
680 / Rent	0		0		0		0		
340 / Phone & Network Costs	0		0		0		0		
480 / Computer Equipment	0		0		0		0		
580 / Office Equipment	0		0		0		0		
590 / Other Equipment	0		0		0		0		
Total EE	0	•	0	•	0		0		0
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	0		0		0		0		0
Transfers									
Total TRF	0		0		0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

Fiscal Year 2019 ASSIGNED CASES -

${\bf Trial~\&~Appellate~Division~Case load,~Adjusted~for~With drawals,~and~Office~Conflicts}$

MSPD to Retain All Cases That Are Not Conflicts

Does Not include Capital or CDU

Type Code	Case Type	Trial & Appellate Division Cases Entered	Trial & Appellate Division Cases Wait List	Adjusted for FY19 Withdrawn	1st Level Conflicts 41's, - Sending Office	1st Level Conflicts 41's, - Receiving Office	Conflicts Assigned to Private Counsel 42's	Conflicts Assigned to Private Counsel 49's	Trial & Appellate Adjusted Caseload NO CONFLICTS	RubinBrown Hours Required for Case Type	FY19 Required Hours
15	Murder 1st Degree	120	1	(21)			(4)	(1)	95	106.60	10,127
20	Other Homicide	166	3	(21)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(66)	78	106.60	8,315
30D	AB Felony Drug	362	24	(34)			(42)	(97)	213	47.60	10,139
30F	AB Felony Other	3,226	122	(326)	(3)	(3)		(545)	2,471	47.60	117,620
30X	AB Felony Sex	559	28	(79)			(4)	(60)	444	63.80	28,327
35D	CDE Felony Drug	12,043	1,271	(689)	(18)	(18)	(318)	(2,057)	10,214	25.00	255,350
35F	CDE Felony Other	19,768	1,722	(1,113)	(27)	(27)	(5)	(2,748)	17,570	25.00	439,250
35X	CDE Felony Sex	290	19	(34)				(27)	248	63.80	15,822
45M	Misdemeanor	8,754	1,118	(405)	(11)	(11)	(35)	(868)	8,542	11.70	99,941
45T	Misdemeanor - Traffic	1,333	218	(84)			(1)	(86)	1,380	11.70	16,146
50N	Juvenile - Non Violent	437	8	(34)	(1)	(1)	(4)	(58)	347	19.50	6,767
50S	Juvenile - Status	69	4	(2)			(1)	(8)	62	19.50	1,209
50V	Juvenile - Violent	352	18	(44)				(25)	301	19.50	5,870
60	552 Release Petitions	6	2	(1)					7	0.00	0
65F	Probation Violation - Felony	12,252	384	(538)	(5)	(5)	(31)	(748)	11,309	9.80	110,828
65M	Probation Violation - Misd	2,260	112	(89)			(4)	(116)	2,163	9.80	21,197
75	Special Writ	2		(12)					-10	0.00	0
110F	Direct Appeals - Felony	320	1	(11)	(4)	(4)	(2)		300	96.50	28,950
110S	Direct Appeal - Misdemeanor	19		(1)					18	96.50	1,737
124A	Rule 24.035 Appeal	138		(3)	(1)	(1)			133	96.50	12,835
124M	Rule 24.035 Motion	614	70	(24)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(5)	648	96.50	62,532
129A	Rule 29.15 Appeal	154		(4)	(4)	(4)			142	96.50	13,703
129M	Rule 29.15 Motion	202	7	(9)	(3)	(3)	(5)	(2)	187	96.50	18,046
Other	Other	68		(6)				(8)	54	0.00	0
	Totals	63,514	5,132	(3,584)	(81)	(81)	(459)	(7,525)	56,916	Case Hours	1,284,710

2019 Attorney Calculation Trial and Appellate Division - Case Standards Assuming All Conflicts to Private Counsel

Attorney Travel Time Per Year - Estimated from FY2016 Expense Reports	34,538.41
Attorney In Court Time - Estimated from FY2016 Time Log Data	129,636.33
Case Hours Required Per ABA/RubinBrown Study - January 2014	1,284,709.80
Total Attorney Hours Required Per Year	1,448,884.54
Attorney Hours Available Per Year	2,080.00
Number of Attorneys Required	696.58
Current Number of Trial & Appellate Division Attorneys (Authorized FTE) (Includes New Children's Defense Team Attorneys)	(369.50)
Number of Attorneys Needed to meet RubinBrown Standard	327.08

ABA/RubinBrown **Workload Study** Hours Per Type of Case Non-Capital Homicide 106.6 A/B Felony Offense 47.6 C/D Felony Offense 25.0 Sex Offense - Felony 63.8 Misdemeanor 11.7 Juvenile 19.5 Appeals/PCR 96.5 Probation Violation 9.8

Trial and Appellate Divisions Case Standards Assuming All Conflicts to Private Counsel RubinBrown - 321 Attorneys Fiscal Year 2021 Request 6 Attorneys

COST BREAKDOWN	TOTAL COSTS
Personal Service Assistant Public Defender III - Range 30 \$61,896	6.00 \$371,376
Legal Assistants - Range 15 \$30,240	2.00 \$60,480
Total Personal Service	<u>8.00</u> \$431,856
Expense & Equipment	
One-time Purchases Attorney Package \$2,855	6.00 \$17,130
Legal Assistant Package	2.00
\$2,885	<u>\$5,770</u>
Total One-Time Purchases	\$22,900
On-Going Costs	
Attorneys	6.00
\$6,600	\$39,600
Legal Assistant \$4,775	2.00 \$9,550
Total Personnel Related On-Going Costs	
_	<u>\$49,150</u>
Total Expense and Equipment	<u>\$72,050</u>
Total Decision Item Request	\$503,906

Position Cost Detail for New FTE's

One Time Equipment Purchas	e
Attorneys	
Desk	\$540
Chair	\$175
Side Chair (2)	\$250
Bookcase	\$215
File Cabinet (2)	\$225
Telephone	\$275
Laptop w/ Docking Station	\$960
PC Software	\$215
	\$2,855
Support Staff	
Desk	\$540
Chair	\$175
Side Chair (2)	\$250
Camera	\$190
Digital Recorder	\$105
File Cabinet (2)	\$225
Telephone	\$225
Laptop w/ Docking Station	\$960
PC Software	\$215
	\$2,885

Detail for Projections On-Going Costs - Trial & Appellate Divisons							
Attorneys							
Travel @ \$250 per month	\$3,000						
Office	\$500						
Rent	\$1,900						
Phone & Network Communications	\$1,200						
	\$6,600						
Legal Assistants/Investigators							
Travel @ \$125 per month	\$1,500						
Office	\$175						
Rent	\$1,900						
Phone & Network Communications	\$1,200						
	\$4,775						

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR									
Constitutionally Mandated Rep - 1151005									
SECRETARY	C	0.00	0	0.00	60,480	2.00	0	0.00	
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER	C	0.00	0	0.00	371,376	6.00	0	0.00	
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	431,856	8.00	0	0.00	
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	C	0.00	0	0.00	21,000	0.00	0	0.00	
SUPPLIES	C	0.00	0	0.00	3,350	0.00	0	0.00	
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	C	0.00	0	0.00	9,600	0.00	0	0.00	
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	C	0.00	0	0.00	9,400	0.00	0	0.00	
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	C	0.00	0	0.00	10,810	0.00	0	0.00	
OTHER EQUIPMENT	C	0.00	0	0.00	2,690	0.00	0	0.00	
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	C	0.00	0	0.00	15,200	0.00	0	0.00	
TOTAL - EE	O	0.00	0	0.00	72,050	0.00	0	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$503,906	8.00	\$0	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$503,906	8.00		0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSE/CONFLIC								
CORE								
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
GENERAL REVENUE	4,721,072	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00
TOTAL - EE	4,721,072	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00
TOTAL	4,721,072	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$4,721,072	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00

				CO	RE DECISION ITEM						
Departmen	t: Office of the State	Public Defe	nder		Budget Unit	15151C					
Division:	Public Defender										
Core:	Litigation Expense	es/Conflict C	ases Core Re	<u>equest</u>	HB Section	12.400					
1. CORE F	INANCIAL SUMMAR	Υ									
	1	FY 2021 Bud	get Request			FY 2021	Governor's R	ecommend	ation		
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total		
PS		0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0		
EE	4,721,071	0	0	4,721,071	EE	4,721,071	0	0	4,721,071		
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0		
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0		
Total	4,721,071	0	0	4,721,071	Total	4,721,071	0	0	4,721,071		
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		
_	es budgeted in House rectly to MoDOT, Higi	•		-	Note: Fringes b budgeted direct						
Other Funds	s:				Other Funds:	Other Funds:					

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This appropriation was established to cover three main types of expenses.

VIOLENT CRIMES: Payment of expenses associated with the defense of violent crimes, including those charged as sexually violent predators.

LITIGATION EXPENSES: Litigation expenses costing over \$500 are paid out of the appropriation. These would include, but are not limited to, such things as independent analysis of DNA evidence, mental health evaluations by experts, depositions, interpreters, medical records, transcriptions, exhibits, immigration consultations, fingerprint experts, handwriting analysis, etc. There has been no increase in funding for litigation expense since fiscal year 1996.

CONFLICT CASES: A conflict requiring a case to be contracted out to private counsel occurs when there are multiple co-defendants charged in a particular incident. Should these co-defendants, each want to snitch on the other, an ethical problem is created and one defender office may not

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Office of the State Public Defender Budget Unit 15151C

Division: Public Defender


Core: Litigation Expenses/Conflict Cases Core Request HB Section 12.400

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

The Missouri State Public Defender has only one program: providing constitutionally required criminal defense representation to Missourians facing the loss of liberty in state misdemeanor and felony prosecutions, as well as in appellate and post-conviction representation matters in which the state has created a right to counsel.

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	3,721,071	4,721,071	4,721,071	4,721,071
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Less Restricted (All Funds)*	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	3,721,071	4,721,071	4,721,071	4,721,071
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	3,721,071	4,721,002	4,721,071	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	69	0	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A



Reverted includes the statutory three percent reserve amount (when applicable).

Restricted includes any Governor's expenditure restrictions which remained at the end of the fiscal year (when applicable).

NOTES:

^{*}Current Year restricted amount is \$0.00 as of September 20, 2019.

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSE/CONFLIC								
CORE								
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	291,260	0.00	265,000	0.00	290,000	0.00	290,000	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	74,001	0.00	55,000	0.00	70,000	0.00	70,000	0.00
FUEL & UTILITIES	5,072	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
SUPPLIES	22,363	0.00	25,000	0.00	22,000	0.00	22,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	0	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	22,297	0.00	20,000	0.00	22,500	0.00	22,500	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	4,058,796	0.00	4,095,571	0.00	4,052,071	0.00	4,052,071	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	2,138	0.00	2,500	0.00	2,000	0.00	2,000	0.00
M&R SERVICES	6,114	0.00	7,000	0.00	6,000	0.00	6,000	0.00
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	15,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	232,438	0.00	225,000	0.00	232,000	0.00	232,000	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	1,402	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00	1,500	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	5,191	0.00	1,500	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
TOTAL - EE	4,721,072	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00	4,721,071	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$4,721,072	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$4,721,072	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00	\$4,721,071	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	136,012	1.87	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00
TOTAL - PS	136,012	1.87	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	1,389,981	0.00	2,600,756	0.00	2,612,006	0.00	2,612,006	0.00
TOTAL - EE	1,389,981	0.00	2,600,756	0.00	2,612,006	0.00	2,612,006	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	32,113	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	32,113	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL	1,558,106	1.87	2,989,646	2.00	3,000,896	2.00	3,000,896	2.00
Pay Plan - 0000012								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,409	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,409	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,409	0.00
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00
Mileage Reimburse Rate Incr - 0000015								
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
LEGAL DEFENSE AND DEFENDER	0	0.00	0	0.00	11,250	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	11,250	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	11,250	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,558,106	1.87	\$2,989,646	2.00	\$3,014,199	2.00	\$3,004,358	2.00

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department:	Office of the State Public Defender	Budget Unit	15141C
Division:	Public Defender		
Core:	Legal Defense and Defender Fund - Core Request	HB Section	12.400

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

	FY 2021 Budget Request					FY 2021 Governor's Recommendation					
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total		
PS	0	0	138,890	138,890	PS -	0		138,890	138,890		
EE	0	0	2,862,006	2,862,006	EE	0	0	2,862,006	2,862,006		
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0		
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0		
Total =	0	0	3,000,896	3,000,896	Total	0	0	3,000,896	3,000,896		
FTE	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00		
Est. Fringe	0	0	71,708	71,708	Est. Fringe	0	0	71,708	71,708		
Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes					Note: Fringes	Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes					
hudgeted directly to McDOT, Highway Potrol, and Consequation					budgeted dire	budgeted directly to MaDOT Highway Betral, and Consequation					

budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Other Funds:

Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

As laws continue to change and turnover of Missouri State Public Defender's staff is significant, training of public defenders and their staff becomes even more critical. The funds in this appropriation are collecteded from the indigent accused and by statute are used at the discretion of the Director of the State Public Defender System for the operation of the department, including, but not limited to, training, Missouri Bar Dues, legal research, onetime equipment purchases, office moves and other cirtical needs.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

There are no separate programs within this appropriation. Dollars collected from Puiblic Defender Clients are utilized to assist in funding the Missouri State Public Defender System.


Department: Office of the State Public Defender Budget Unit ____15141C

Division: Public Defender

Core: Legal Defense and Defender Fund - Core Request HB Section 12.400

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	2,985,943	2,985,943	2,986,768	3,000,896
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Less Restricted (All Funds)*	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	2,985,943	2,985,943	2,986,768	3,000,896
Actual Expenditures (All Funds) Unexpended (All Funds)	1,032,481 1,953,462	1,435,202 1,550,741	1,558,105 1,428,663	N/A N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A



Reverted includes the statutory three percent reserve amount (when applicable).

Restricted includes any Governor's expenditure restrictions which remained at the end of the fiscal year (when applicable).

NOTES:

^{*}Current Year restricted amount is \$0.00 as of September 20, 2019.

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND								
CORE								
DIVISION DIRECTOR	93,145	0.85	97,374	1.00	97,374	1.00	97,374	1.00
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	42,867	1.02	41,516	1.00	41,516	1.00	41,516	1.00
TOTAL - PS	136,012	1.87	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00	138,890	2.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	402,372	0.00	784,000	0.00	511,250	0.00	511,250	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	63,770	0.00	75,000	0.00	75,000	0.00	75,000	0.00
SUPPLIES	140,573	0.00	35,000	0.00	150,000	0.00	150,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	214,943	0.00	185,000	0.00	215,000	0.00	215,000	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	2,216	0.00	160,000	0.00	160,000	0.00	160,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	27,742	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
M&R SERVICES	291,374	0.00	375,000	0.00	375,000	0.00	375,000	0.00
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	19,071	0.00	320,756	0.00	400,000	0.00	400,000	0.00
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	25,000	0.00	25,000	0.00	25,000	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	26,192	0.00	195,000	0.00	248,256	0.00	248,256	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	1,171	0.00	75,000	0.00	75,000	0.00	75,000	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	7,500	0.00	1,000	0.00	7,500	0.00	7,500	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	42,341	0.00	45,000	0.00	45,000	0.00	45,000	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	150,716	0.00	200,000	0.00	200,000	0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - EE	1,389,981	0.00	2,600,756	0.00	2,612,006	0.00	2,612,006	0.00
REFUNDS	32,113	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	32,113	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,558,106	1.87	\$2,989,646	2.00	\$3,000,896	2.00	\$3,000,896	2.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$1,558,106	1.87	\$2,989,646	2.00	\$3,000,896	2.00	\$3,000,896	2.00

FUND FINANCIAL SUMMARY

FUND NAME: Lega	e of the State Public				
FUND NUMBER: 0670 X Statutory		X	Federal Fund Administratively Create	ed	Subject To Biennial Sweep Subject to
Constitutional		х	Interest Deposited To	Fund	Other Sweeps (see Notes)
FUND OPERATIONS		FY 2019 ADJUSTED APPROP	FY 2019 ACTUAL SPENDING	FY 2020 ADJUSTED APPROP	FY 2021 REQUESTED
BEGINNING CASH BAL	ANCE	673,039	673,039	426,136	0
RECEIPTS: REVENUE (Cash Basi	s: July 1 - June 30)	1,390,732	1,390,732	1,398,525	1,398,525
TRANSFERS IN TOTAL RECEIPTS	-	1,390,732	1,390,732	0 1,398,525	1,398,525
TOTAL RESOURCES A	VAILABLE =	2,063,771	2,063,771	1,824,661	1,398,525
APPROPRIATIONS (INC					
OPERATING APPROF		2,986,768	1,558,105	3,000,896	3,014,199
TRANSFER APPROPS CAPITAL IMPROVEMING		84,070	79,530	75,750 0	75,750 0
TOTAL APPROPRIATION	_	<u> </u>	1,637,635	3,076,646	3,089,949
BUDGET BALANCE	=	(1,007,067)	426,136	(1,251,985)	(1,691,424)
UNEXPENDED APPR	OPRIATION *	1,433,203	0	1,251,985	1,691,424
OTHER ADJUSTMEN	_	0	0	0	0
ENDING CASH BALAN	CE	426,136	426,136	0	0
FUND OBLIGATIONS					
ENDING CASH BALAN	CE	426,136	426,136	0	0
OTHER OBLIGATIONS	IFOTO	0	0	0	0
OUTSTANDING PROJ CASH FLOW NEEDS	IEC 15	0 0	0	0	0
TOTAL OTHER OBLIGA	TIONS -	0		0	0
UNOBLIGATED CASH	_	426,136	426,136	0	0

NEW DECISION ITI	E١	۷
-------------------------	----	---

				NE\	V DECISION ITEM					
				RANK:	OF	5				
Departmen	t: Office of the Sta	te Public De	fender		Budget Unit	15141C				
Division:	Office of the Sta	te Public De	fender - LDDI	F	<u>-</u>					
DI Name:	FY 21 Pay Plan		D	I# 0000012	HB Section	12.400				
1. AMOUN	T OF REQUEST									
	FY	2021 Budget	Request			FY 2021	Governor's	Recommend	ation	
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS		0	0	0	PS	0	0	1,409	1,409	
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	Total	0	0	1,409	1,409	
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	452	452	
Note: Fring	es budgeted in Hou	se Bill 5 exce _l	ot for certain fi	ringes	Note: Fringes	budgeted in F	louse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes	
budgeted di	irectly to MoDOT, Hi	ghway Patrol,	and Conserv	ation.	budgeted dire	ctly to MoDOT	, Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.	
Other Funds	s:				Other Funds:					
2. THIS RE	QUEST CAN BE CA	TEGORIZED	AS:							
	New Legislation			Ne	w Program		F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate				gram Expansion	_	C	ost to Continu	ue	
	GR Pick-Up		_	Sp	ace Request	_	E	quipment Re	placement	
Х	Pay Plan			Oth	ner:					
CONSTITU	TIONAL AUTHORIZ	ATION FOR	THIS PROGR	RAM.	or ITEMS CHECKED IN					ORY OR

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK: 2 OF 5

Department:Office of the State Public DefenderBudget Unit15141CDivision:Office of the State Public Defender - LDDFDI Name:FY 21 Pay PlanDI# 0000012HB Section12.400

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGET OBJECT CLASS, JOB CLASS, AND FUND SOURCE. IDENTIFY ONE-TIME COSTS.

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The appropriated amount for the Fiscal Year 2021 pay plan was based on personal service appropriations.

	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req						
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0		
100-Salaries and Wages							0	0.0	
l—									

Total PS 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Grand Total 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0

Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
100-Salaries and Wages Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,409 1,409	0.0	1,409 1,409	0.0	
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,409	0.0	1,409	0.0	0

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND								
Pay Plan - 0000012								
DIVISION DIRECTOR	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	988	0.00
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	421	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,409	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,409	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,409	0.00

					NE	EW DECISION ITEM					
					RANK:_	OF	5				
Departmer	nt: Office of th	ne Sta	te Public De	fender		Budget Unit	15141C				
Division:	Office of the	ne Sta	te Public De	fender (LDDI	F)		_				
DI Name	Pay Plan - FY	2020	Cost to Cor	ntinue [DI# 0000013	HB Section	12.400				
1. AMOUN	IT OF REQUE	ST									
		FY	2021 Budget	t Request			FY 2021	Governor's	Recommend	ation	
	GR		Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS		0	0	2,053	2,053	PS	0	0	2,053	2,053	
EE		0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD		0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF		0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total		0	0	2,053	2,053	Total	0	0	2,053	2,053	
FTE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	9	0	0	659	659	Est. Fringe	0	0	659	659	
	ges budgeted in	n Hous				Note: Fringes	budgeted in F	louse Bill 5 ex			
budgeted d	lirectly to MoD(OT, Hi	ghway Patrol,	, and Conserv	vation.	budgeted direc	ctly to MoDOT	, Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.	
Other Fund	ls:					Other Funds:	-				
2. THIS RE	QUEST CAN I	BE CA	TEGORIZED	AS:							
	New Legislat				N	lew Program		F	und Switch		
	Federal Man			_		rogram Expansion	_	c	ost to Continu	ue	
	GR Pick-Up			_	s	pace Request	_	E	quipment Re	placement	
Х	Pay Plan				C	Other:	_				
3. WHY IS	THIS FUNDIN	IG NE	EDED? PRO	OVIDE AN EX	PLANATION	FOR ITEMS CHECKED IN	N #2. INCLUD	E THE FEDE	RAL OR STA	TE STATUT	ORY OR
	ITIONAL AUTI										
The EV 20	120 budget incl	ludae i	annronriation	authority for	a 3% nav incr	ease for employees begini	ning January 1	1 2020 The r	emaining siv	months were	3
						ding in FY 2021.	illig January	i, 2020. The i	emaining six	months were	5
arriariaea,	, but the stated	mitorit	. or the legisle	itaic was to p	TOVICE LITE TUIT	ding iiri i 2021.					

NE ⁻	W DECISION ITEM	
RANK:	OF	5
Department: Office of the State Public Defender	Budget Unit	15141C
Division: Office of the State Public Defender (LDDF)		
DI Name Pay Plan - FY 2020 Cost to Continue Dl# 0000013	HB Section _	12.400

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The appropriated amount for the Fiscal Year 2020 pay plan was based on a 3% pay increase for employees beginning January 1, 2020. The Fiscal Year 2021 requested amount is equivalent to the remaining six months in order to provide the core funding necessary for a full fiscal year.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY B	UDGET OBJEC	CT CLASS, J	<u>OB CLASS, A</u>	<u>ND FUND SC</u>	URCE. IDEN	ITIFY ONE-T	IME COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0		
100-Salaries and Wages					2,053		2,053	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,053	0.0	2,053	0.0	0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,053	0.0	2,053	0.0	0
	-								

Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
100-Salaries and Wages Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,053 2,053	0.0	2,053 2,053	0.0	
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,053	0.0	2,053	0.0	0

Cost to Continue Fiscal Year 2020 Pay Plan Training - Legal Defense & Defender Fund

Job Class	Number of FTE	FY 2021 Cost to Continue
Division Director	1.00	\$614.00
Program Technician	1.00	\$1,439.00
	2.00	\$2,053

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
LEGAL DEFENSE & DEFENDER FUND								
Pay Plan FY20-Cost to Continue - 0000013								
DIVISION DIRECTOR	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,439	0.00	1,439	0.00
PROGRAM TECHNICIAN	0	0.00	0	0.00	614	0.00	614	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,053	0.00	2,053	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$2,053	0.00	\$2,053	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$2,053	0.00	\$2,053	0.00

				ı	NEW DECIS	SION ITEM					
				RANK:	2	OF	5				
Denartmen	t: Office of the S	tate Public De	fonder			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division:	Public Defend		iciidei			Buaget Offic	131110				
	Mileage Reimbur		ncrease [OI# 0000015		HB Section	12.400				
1. AMOUN	T OF REQUEST								_		
		Y 2021 Budge	•	T . 4 . 1			_	Governor's			
DC	GR 0	Federal	Other	Total		PS	GR 0	Federal 0	Other 0	Total 0	
PS EE	0	0	11,250	11,250		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	11,250	11,250		Total	0	0	0	0	
			•	· · ·							
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	_										
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
_	es budgeted in Ho			-		_	s budgeted in F		•	_	
buagetea at	irectly to MoDOT,	nigriway Patroi	and Conserv	ration.		buagetea aire	ectly to MoDOT	, nigriway Pat	roi, and Cons	ervation.	
Other Funds	s:					Other Funds:					
2 THIS RE	QUEST CAN BE	ATEGORIZE	۸۶۰								
Z. TITIO IXL	New Legislation	DATEOURIZEE	7 AO.		New Progra	am		F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate	!	_		Program E		_		cost to Contin	ue	
	GR Pick-Up		_		Space Req	uest	_	E	quipment Re	placement	
	Pay Plan		_	Х	Other:	Mileage Reim	bursement Ra	te Increase			
	THIS FUNDING N				I FOR ITEN	IS CHECKED II	N #2. INCLUD	E THE FEDE	RAL OR STA	TE STATUT	ORY OR
CONSTITU	TIONAL AUTHOR	RIZATION FOR	THIS PROGE	RAM.							
	nts were appropria									f a proposed t	three-
year \$.18	increase. This rec	juest is for an a	dditional \$.06	increase, w	hich would	bring the mileag	ge reimburseme	ent rate to \$.4	9.		

NEW DECISION ITEM							
	RANK:	2	_ OF	5			
Department: Office of the State Public Defender			Budget Unit	15111C			
Division: Public Defender							
DI Name Mileage Reimbursement Rate Increase	DI# 0000015		HB Section	12.400			

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

This request will increase the State of Missouri mileage reimbursement rate from \$.43 to \$.49. As can be seen in the table below, the current federal mileage reimbursement rate is \$.58.

	Mileage Reimbursement Rates											
Jan. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2014 - Dec. 31, 2014 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2016 - Dec. 31, 2016 - Dec. 31, 2016 - Dec. 31, 2017 - Dec. 31, 2017 - Dec. 31, 2018 - Dec. 31, 2019 - July 1, June 30, 2019 June 30, 2019												
IRS	56.5	56	57.5	54	53.5	54.5	58	58				
State of Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	43				

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGET OBJECT CLASS, JOB CLASS, AND FUND SOURCE, IDENTIFY ONE-TIME COSTS.

Budget Object Class/Job Class	Dept Req GR DOLLARS	Dept Req GR FTE	Dept Req FED DOLLARS	Dept Req FED FTE	Dept Req OTHER DOLLARS	Dept Req OTHER FTE	Dept Req TOTAL DOLLARS	Dept Req TOTAL FTE	Dept Req One-Time DOLLARS
Travel /140					11,250		11,250		
Total EE	0		0		11,250		11,250		
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	11,250	0.0	11,250	0.0	

		1	NEW DECIS	ION ITEM					
		RANK:	2	_ OF	5				
Department: Office of the State Public D	efender			Budget Unit	15111C				
Division: Public Defender									
DI Name Mileage Reimbursement Rate	Increase	DI# 0000015		HB Section	12.400				
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	1112	DOLLARS	1112	DOLLARS	- 112	DOLLARS	- ' ' ' -	DOLLARS
Travel /140					0		0		
Total EE	0	, .	0	ī	0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC	
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	
MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT									
CORE									
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	(0.00	156,626	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	
TOTAL - EE	(0.00	156,626	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$(0.00	\$156,626	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$(0.00	\$145,376	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$11,250	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
GRANTS								
CORE								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
PUBLIC DEFENDER-FEDERAL & OTHR		0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD		0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
TOTAL		0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
Increase Federal & Other Auth 1151006								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
PUBLIC DEFENDER-FEDERAL & OTHR		0.00	0	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD		0.00	0	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00
TOTAL		0.00	0	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$	0.00	\$125,000	0.00	\$625,000	0.00	\$625,000	0.00

Department:	Office of the State Public Defender	Budget Unit 15131C
Division:	Public Defender	
Core:	Federal & Other Core	HB Section 12.400

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

	FY	['] 2021 Budge	t Request			FY 2021	Governor's R	Recommenda	ition
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	125,000	125,000	PSD	0	0	125,000	125,000
TRF	0	0		0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	125,000	125,000	Total	0	0	125,000	125,000
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
Note: Fringes budge	ted in House B	Bill 5 except fo	r certain fring	es	Note: Fringes b	oudgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 exce	ept for certain	fringes

budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Other Funds:

Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

Appropriation is requested to have spending authority should Federal or Other Funds become available during Fiscal Year2019 to assist in funding the State Public Defender System.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

There are no separate programs within this appropriation. Dollars made available to fhis fund will assist in funding the Missouri State Public Defender System.

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

Federal & Other Core

Budget Unit 15131C

Division: Public Defender


HB Section

12.400

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

Core:

	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Less Restricted (All Funds)*	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	125,000	125,000	125,000	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A



Reverted includes the statutory three percent reserve amount (when applicable).

Restricted includes any Governor's expenditure restrictions which remained at the end of the fiscal year (when applicable).

NOTES:

^{*}Current Year restricted amount is \$0.00 as of September 20, 2019.

Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
GRANTS								
CORE								
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	0	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00	125,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$125,000	0.00	\$125,000	0.00	\$125,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$125,000	0.00	\$125,000	0.00	\$125,000	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

		Public Def		RANK:	5					
Division: Pub		Public Def			<u> </u>	OF_	5			
Division: Pub			ender			Budget Unit	15131C			
	eased Spendin	g Authorit	ty 1	151006		HB Section _	12.400			
1. AMOUNT OF R	EQUEST									
		21 Budget	Request				FY 2021	l Governor's	Recommend	lation
	GR F	ederal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0		PS	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0		EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	500,000	500,000		PSD	0	0	500,000	500,000
TRF	0	0	0	0		TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	500,000	500,000		Total	0	0	500,000	500,000
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
Note: Fringes bud	•	•	•	•		Note: Fringes	•	•	•	<u> </u>
budgeted directly to	•			•		budgeted direc	•		•	_
Other Funds:		<u>,</u>				Other Funds:	.,	, g a.,	,	
2. THIS REQUEST	CAN BE CATE	EGORIZED	AS:							
	egislation			1	New Progr	am		F	und Switch	
	al Mandate		_		Program E	xpansion	_		Cost to Contin	ue
GR Pi	ck-Up		_		Space Rec	•	_		Equipment Re	placement
Pay P	•		_		Other:	Spending Author	ority _		' '	<u> </u>
							<i>"-</i>			
3. WHY IS THIS F					FOR ITE	IS CHECKED IN	#2. INCLUD	E THE FEDE	RAL OR STA	TE STATUTO
	L AUTHORIZA	TION FOR	THIS PROGE	RAM.						

NEW DECISION ITEM										
		RANK:	5	OF	5					
		•	_	•						
Department: Office of the State Public De	efender			Budget Unit	15131C					
Division: Public Defender				•						
DI Name: Increased Spending Authori	itty	1151006		HB Section	12.400					
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUI	OCET OR IEC	T CL ASS I	OB CLASS /	ND FUND SO	LIBCE IDEN	ITIEV ONE T	IME COSTS			
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUL	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time	
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	
Dudget Object Glass/Job Glass	DOLLARO		DOLLARO	1 15	DOLLARO		0	0.0		
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	•		•	0.0	•		0			
Total EE	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	
Program Distributions					500,000		500,000			
Total PSD	0	•	0	•	500,000	•	500,000	•	0	
_										
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	500,000	0.0	500,000	0.0	0	
	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	Gov Rec	
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time	
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	
							0	0.0		
Total DC		0.0		0.0		0.0	0 0	0.0		
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	U	0.0	0	
							0			
Total EE	0	,	0		0		0	,	0	
Total EE	Ū		· ·		U		U		J	
Program Distributions					500,000		500,000			
Total PSD	0		0	•	500,000	•	500,000		0	
	•		•		222,200		222,200		·	
Transfers						•	0	•	0	
Transfers Total TRF	0	•	0		0		U		U	
-	0	•	0		U		U		U	
-	0	0.0	0	0.0	500,000	0.0	500,000	0.0	0	

						_		
Budget Unit	FY 2019	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
GRANTS								
Increase Federal & Other Auth 1151006								
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	0	0.00	0	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	0	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$500,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$500,000	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

Department: Office of the S	tate Public Defender	Budget Unit	15161C
Division: Public Defend	er		
Core: Debt Offset Es	crow Fund	HB Section	12.400

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

	FY	2021 Budg	et Request			FY 2021 Governor's Recommendation					
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total		
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0		
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0		
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0		
TRF	0	0	1,700,000	1,700,000	TRF	0	0	1,700,000	1,700,000		
Total	0	0	1,700,000	1,700,000	Total	0	0	1,700,000	1,700,000		
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		
Note: Fringes budge	eted in House B	ill 5 except fo	or certain fring	ges	Note: Fringes k	budgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 exc	ept for certain	n fringes		
budgeted directly to	MoDOT, Highw	av Patrol, an	d Conservation	on.	budgeted direct	tlv to MoDOT. H	Highway Patro	ol. and Conse	ervation.		

Other Funds: Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

As laws continue to change and turnover of Missouri State Public Defender's staff is significant, training of public defenders and their staff becomes even more critical. The funds in this appropriation are collecteded from the indigent accused and by statute are used at the discretion of the Director of the State Public Defender System for the operation of the department, including, but not limited to, training, Missouri Bar Dues, legal research, one-time equipment purchases, office moves and other cirtical needs.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

There are no separate programs within this appropriation. Dollars collected from Puiblic Defender Clients are utilized to assist in funding the Missouri State Public Defender System.

Department: Office of the State Public Defender

15161C **Budget Unit**

Division: Public Defender **Debt Offset Escrow Fund**


HB Section

12.400

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

Core:

	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	1,200,000	1,200,000	1,700,000	1,700,000
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Less Restricted (All Funds)*	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	1,200,000	1,200,000	1,700,000	1,700,000
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,095,998	1,200,000	1,101,645	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	104,002	0	598,355	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A



Reverted includes the statutory three percent reserve amount (when applicable).

Restricted includes any Governor's expenditure restrictions which remained at the end of the fiscal year (when applicable).

NOTES:

^{*}Current Year restricted amount is \$0.00 as of September 20, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER **DECISION ITEM DETAIL Budget Unit** FY 2019 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 FY 2021 **Decision Item ACTUAL** ACTUAL **BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ GOV REC GOV REC Budget Object Class** DOLLAR FTE **DOLLAR** FTE **DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR** FTE **DEBT OFFSET ESCROW FUND** CORE TRANSFERS OUT 0.00 1,700,000 0.00 0.00 1,700,000 1,101,645 1,700,000 0.00 **TOTAL - TRF** 1,101,645 1,700,000 0.00 0.00 1,700,000 0.00 1,700,000 0.00 **GRAND TOTAL** 0.00 \$1,101,645 0.00 \$1,700,000 0.00 \$1,700,000 \$1,700,000 0.00

\$0

\$0

\$1,700,000

0.00

0.00

0.00

\$0

\$0

\$1,700,000

0.00

0.00

0.00

\$0

\$0

\$1,700,000

0.00

0.00

0.00

GENERAL REVENUE

FEDERAL FUNDS

OTHER FUNDS

\$0

\$0

\$1,101,645

0.00

0.00

0.00